## adelaidebrighton.com.au



Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd ABN 96 007 870 199 PO Box 77 Port Adelaide SA 5015 +61 8 8300 0300

## ENVIROMENT IMROVEMENT PROGRAMME (EIP) ANNUAL REPORT FOR BIRKENHEAD WORKS

## COMPLIANCE DATE: 15/04/2023 – 2022 Annual Report EPA Licence 1126: Environment Improvement Programme (U-583)

Licensed site:	Adelaide Brighton Cement, Birkenhead Works
	62 Elder Road, Birkenhead, SA 5015
Date of Submission:	14 April 2023
Version Number:	1



Report Submitted by: Advisor Environment C&L (SA/NSW/NT)

Purpose	The purpose of the Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) is to reduce noise and fugitive particulate emissions from the site.
Background Information	In order to reduce its environmental impact, Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd, has developed an EIP that contains projects to reduce noise and fugitive particulate emissions. These projects were identified from the following inputs:
	<ul> <li>Adelaide Brighton Cement Limited Report, August 2018, "Assessment of Options Report"</li> <li>Katestone Environmental Report, August 2018 "Birkenhead Cement Plant – Options Assessment Report"</li> <li>Katestone Environmental Report 2017 "Air Quality Assessment of the Birkenhead Cement Plant"</li> <li>Vipac Engineers and Scientists Report, August 2018 "Environmental Noise Model Update"</li> <li>Vipac Engineers and Scientists Report, February 2019 "Noise model update and Abatement Options"</li> </ul>
	Thirteen fugitive particulate and three noise improvement projects were identified and incorporated into an EPA approved EIP.
	EIP project implementation is tracked through the completion of 52 compliance actions over the life of the EIP.
	The EIP approved by the EPA on 28 February 2019 is available on the ABC Birkenhead Community Website: <a href="http://www.birkenheadcommunity.com.au/">http://www.birkenheadcommunity.com.au/</a>
Reporting Objective	Annual EIP progress report providing:
Objective	<ul> <li>Summary of EIP actions completed during the calendar year</li> <li>A summary of progress on EIP actions</li> </ul>
	To be submitted within 45 days of the anniversary of the EIP approval date, namely 15 th April of each year
Annual Report	EIP project 13 was completed during the reporting period in compliance with the EIP timelines.
	EIP Project 4 was cancelled, as business activity has changed, and therefore, this EIP is no longer required.
	EIP Project 10 and 11 are dependent on completion of a separate non EIP project which may not now be progressed. An alternative area south of 4A ESP is now proposed to be sealed instead by 31 December 2023. Completion date of EIP Project 17 is proposed to be amended to 31/12/2024, such that EIP Projects 10, 11 & 12 can be implemented prior to evaluation, and assessments associated with new licence conditions can also be considered. The following table summarises the progress and completion of EIP projects and actions.

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)									
		1		1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022					
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes					
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)	ADC has researched as used suitable Coefshring, installation mathedalegies a					
1	Fugitive Dust -	Relocate	1	By the 24/04/2019, ABC	ABC has researched several suitable Geofabrics, installation methodologies and					
	Stockpile emission	Bauxite		will select a suitable	obtained estimated project costs.					
	reduction	Stockpile		Geofabric, determine						
		and cover		installation	In summary:					
		with		methodology and	<ul> <li>A heavy duty shade cloth material to be used for the stockpile cover –</li> </ul>					
		Geofabric		project costs to enable	(example photo below)					
				a business case to be						
				developed for capital						
				expenditure approval.	<ul> <li>Stockpile to be covered with six panels of heavy duty shade cloth (15 m x</li> </ul>					
					65 m each) to provide flexibility and safety in covering and uncovering the stockpile					
					<ul> <li>Covers will be applied using a combination of lifting equipment and manual labour</li> </ul>					
					Covers will be anchored using water filled 200 litre drums appropriately spaced					
					A business case and a capital expenditure proposal will be submitted to obtain capital funding by the 23/08/2019.					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022							
FIP			Compliance	1 March 2019 – D Project Milestones				
Project	Category	Project	Project Action (Compliance actions)		Actions & Outcomes			
	Fugitive Dust - Stockpile emission reduction	Relocate Bauxite Stockpile and cover with Geofabric	2	By the 23/08/2019, ABC will obtain capital expenditure approval for the purchase and installation of Geofabric on the Bauxite stockpile to be located at the Southern end of the site.	<ul> <li>Capital expenditure approval has been obtained for purchase and installation of Geofabric on the Bauxite stockpile.</li> <li>In summary: <ul> <li>Relocated Bauxite Stockpile applied with environmentally friendly dust suppression (green)</li> <li>Reduced vehicle movements and dust emissions as stockpile closer to materials handling system</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bauxite stockpile <ul> <li>A heavy duty shade cloth material to be used for the stockpile cover – (example photo below)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stockpile to be covered with six panels of heavy duty shade cloth (15 m x 65 m each) to provide flexibility and safety in covering and uncovering the stockpile</li> <li>Covers will be applied using a combination of lifting equipment and manual labour</li> <li>Covers will be appropriately anchored</li> </ul>			

Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022							
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes		
Project	category	inoject	Action	(Compliance actions)			
1	Fugitive Dust - Stockpile emission reduction	Relocate Bauxite Stockpile and cover with Geofabric	3	Subject to obtaining capital approval by 23/08/2019, ABC will complete implementation of Geofabric on the Bauxite Stockpile at the Southern end of the plant by 18/10/2019	<ul> <li>ABC completed installation of the covers on the relocated Bauxite Stockpile at the Southern end of the plant on 13/02/2020.</li> <li>Relocated Bauxite stockpile close to the material handling system reduces vehicle movements and associated fugitive dust.</li> <li>Covering inactive areas of stockpile with heavy duty shade cloth prevents wind erosion and fugitive dust from surface of stockpile.</li> <li>Predicted to reduce maximum 24-hr average concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> at the Community Park by 0.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.</li> </ul>		
				Variation: At the time of developing the EIP, ABC was not cognisant of the long lead times associated with the delivery of the material which is sourced from overseas manufacturers and the production timing coinciding with a seasonally related busy period. A revised completion date of 28/02/2020, and application of chemical dust suppressant to the stockpile as an interim fugitive dust control measure, was approved by the SA EPA. Project completed	<image/> <text><image/><image/></text>		

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)							
EIP     Category     Project     Compliance     Project Milestones								
Project	Category	Project	oject     Action     (Compliance actions)		Actions & Outcomes			
EIP Project 2	Category Fugitive Dust - Stockpile emission reduction	Project Wind curtain - Limestone stockpile	Compliance Action 5	Project Milestones (Compliance actions) By 29/09/2020, ABC will obtain capital and necessary expenditure for implementing the portable wind curtain.	ABC has obtained approval for the expenditure necessary to implement the portable wind curtain. The implementation of the portable wind curtain is planned to be completed 1/02/2021.			

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)								
			- "	1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022				
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
2	Fugitive Dust - Stockpile emission reduction	Wind curtain - Limestone stockpile	6	Subject to obtaining capital approval by 29/09/2020, ABC will complete the installation of the wind curtain by 1/02/2021. Variation: ABC agreed with the EPA to complete the installation of the wind curtain before commencement of the limestone stockpile reclamation in early April 2021 Project completed	<ul> <li>Arrival of wind curtain parts from overseas was late due to COVID-19 shipping delays. ABC informed the EPA of the delay and it was agreed that installation of the portable wind curtain could be achieved before commencement of the limestone stockpile reclamation in early April 2021.</li> <li>ABC completed installation of 5 portable wind fences on the 22/3/2021, before commencement of the limestone stockpile reclamation in early April 2021.</li> <li>5 portable wind fences (6m high x10m length each) installed at the working face of the limestone stockpile</li> <li>A porous windbreak fence can reduce wind speed minimising dust lift-off and transport</li> <li>A portable wind fence provides flexibility to manage changing dynamics of the stockpile size, providing an effective means of reducing dust emissions from materials handling activities at the working face of the stockpile</li> </ul>				
					<u><b>Outcome:</b></u> Independent air quality modelling and analysis indicates that a portable wind curtain located at the working face of the stockpile when used in conjunction with chemical polymers applied to stockpile sides and exposed surface areas, and use of stockpile covers applied to 11,500m <sup>2</sup> of inactive non-working exposed surface areas of the limestone stockpile may reduce maximum 24-hr average concentrations of PM <sub>10</sub> at the Community Park by 2.3 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> .				

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)									
EIP Compliance Project Milestones										
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes					
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)						
3	Fugitive Dust -	Geofabric –	9	By the 30/05/2019, ABC	ABC has researched several suitable Geofabrics, installation methodologies and					
	Stockpile emission	Limestone		will select a suitable	obtained estimated project costs.					
	reduction	stockpile		Geofabric, determine						
				installation	In summary:					
				methodology and	<ul> <li>A heavy duty shade cloth material to be used for the stockpile cover –</li> </ul>					
				project costs to enable	(example photo below)					
				a business case to be						
				developed for capital						
				expenditure approval.	<ul> <li>In active areas of the stockpile to be covered with panels of heavy-duty shade cloth to provide flexibility and safety in covering and uncovering the</li> </ul>					
					stockpile					
					<ul> <li>Covers will be applied using a combination of lifting equipment and manual labour</li> </ul>					
					<ul> <li>Covers will be anchored using water filled 200 litre drums appropriately spaced</li> </ul>					
					A business case and a capital expenditure proposal will be submitted to obtain capital funding by the 27/09/2019.					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)							
510			Comuliance	1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022			
EIP	Category	Project		Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes			
Project 3	Category Fugitive Dust - Stockpile emission reduction	Project Geofabric – Limestone stockpile	Action 10	(Compliance actions) By 27/09/2019, ABC will obtain capital expenditure for purchase and installation of Geo fabric on the limestone stockpile on the shell block.	Actions & Outcomes ABC has obtained capital expenditure approval for the purchase and installation of geo fabric on the limestone stockpile on the shell block. It is envisaged that the stockpile will have adequate non-working surfaces to complete the installation of Geo fabric on 11,500 m <sup>2</sup> of inactive stockpile areas by 13/03/2020.			

		A	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviror 1 March 2019 – D	nmental Imp ecember 202	rovement Progra 2	imme (EIP)	
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Project Milestones Compliance actions)			
3	Fugitive Dust - Stockpile emission reduction	Geofabric – Limestone stockpile	11	Subject to obtaining capital approval by 27/09/2019, ABC will complete the installation of Geo fabric on the 11,500 m <sup>2</sup> Of inactive stockpile areas by 13/03/2020	ABC complet limestone sto At the time of be available nature of the was agreed w build enable	ed the installation ockpile on 11/01/2 of preparing the EIF by the 13/03/2020 e stockpile build, th with the EPA, allow d this to occur. Pro	of "Sand Tarps" (Geofabric) on inactive surface areas of the 021. P, ABC anticipated 11,500 m <sup>2</sup> of inactive surface area would b, to which a cover could be applied. Due to the dynamic his was not possible and variations to the project milestone ring for progressive application of covers as the stockpile ogress is summarised in the table below:	
					Date		Photos	
				Variation: Subject to obtaining capital approval by 27/09/2019, ABC will complete the installation of Geo fabric to 77% of 11,500 m <sup>2</sup> of inactive stockpile areas by 30/09/2020, with the balance completed by 17/01/2021. This variation has been accepted by the EPA <b>Project completed</b>	18/3/2020	Ariel view		
					30/09/2020	Top of stockpile		
					11/01/2021	Ariel view		
						Port River Side		
					Outcomes Cov and This	: rering inactive area I fugitive dust from s project is predicte	is of the stockpile with Sand Tarps prevents wind erosion these areas of the stockpile. ed to reduce maximum 24-hr average concentrations of	

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)								
510	EIP Compliance Project Milestones								
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Willestones	Actions & Outcomes				
Project	<b>F</b> 111 <b>F</b> 1		Action	(Compliance actions)					
4	Fugitive Dust -	MM wheel	12	By the 23/10/2020, ABC	Unplanned operational activity related to COVID-19 pandemic restrictions and				
	Transfer operation	wash		will complete an	critical plant equipment maintenance requirements have delayed progress on this				
		system		engineering study,	project.				
				including selection and	Significant additional resource has been devoted to both the Annual Shutdown				
				Installation of truck	and the dry-docking arrangements for seaworthy recertification of the Accolade				
				wheel wash to enable	vessel. COVID 19 has required additional planning and the sourcing of alternative				
				approval for capital	dry-docking facilities and maintenance providers, that align with the Annual Plant				
				expenditure.	Shutuown period in January.				
				Variation proposed	Rescrieduling compliance actions, 12, 13 and 14 will still enable the project to be				
				variation proposed:	1				
				By the 01/06/2021	13. APC requested that the FID he changed to reflect the following:				
				ABC will complete an	Abe requested that the EF be changed to reflect the following.				
				ADC will complete un					
				including selection and					
				installation of truck					
				wheel wash to enable					
				approval for capital					
				expenditure					
				experiare.					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022									
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes					
4	Fugitive Dust - Transfer operation	MM Wheel wash system	13	By 01/06/2021, ABC will submit development application to the relevant regulatory authority for construction of a wheel wash.	Installation of a portable wheel wash unit will not require council development approval. ABC will now proceed to obtain expenditure approval for the hire of a portable wheel wash for the MM system by 21/11/2001 as required by compliance action 14					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)										
	T	T	Γ	1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022						
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes						
4	Fugitive Dust - Transfer operation	MM Wheel wash system	14	Subject to development approval by 1/9/2021 ABC will obtain capital expenditure for purchase and installation of a wheel wash facility for the MM System by 21/11/2021	Installation of a portable wheel wash unit will not require council development approval. ABC has expenditure approval for a wheel wash system						

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)									
		1		1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022					
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes					
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)						
Project 4	Category Fugitive Dust - Transfer operation	Project MM Wheel wash system	Action 15	(Compliance actions) Subject to capital approval by 21/11/2021 and annual kiln shutdown commencing on 10/01/2022, ABC will complete installation and commissioning of the wheel wash by 01/04/2022. Project cancelled	Actions & Outcomes ABC will hire a portable wheel wash unit to assess effectiveness and suitability. The typical duty of the hire unit is as follows:     Road-based truck / trailer configurations: 2900mm internal tire     width     Capacity 50 trucks/day     Expected wash time ~30 seconds (depends vehicle length/speed)     Automatic operation     To be situated on concrete hard stand roadway/surface     Reclaim and reuse of cleaning water as much as possible – system     top up with mains water     Materials expected to be handled, Limestone, Bauxite, Clay, Sands ABC had difficulty in getting vendors to respond to supply a suitable hire     unit. ABC considered redesign of the existing wheel wash unit to accommodate     wheel washing for front end loaders. Further investigations identified safety concerns with installation of a new     wheel wash at the proposed location, due to the size/mass, breaking force     and double road trains, which used to deliver to site and could not fit the     current wheel wash, are no longer used. Therefore, this EIP is no longer required.					
					Therefore, this EIP is no longer required. PROJECT CANCELLED:					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022									
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes					
5	Fugitive Dust - Conveyors	CS2 CR2 CR3 wind shielding	16	By the 11/05/2020, ABC will complete an engineering study to enable capital expenditure approval for wind shielding on one side of CS2 CR2/CR3 conveyors.	ABC has developed an engineering scope of work and obtained estimated project costs. In summary ABC will: • Refurbish the conveyor gantry purlins • Reinstate cladding along the sides of CS2 CR2 CR3 clinker conveyor gantry • Install floor cladding over the existing perforated floor					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022									
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes					
5	Fugitive Dust - Conveyors	CS2 CR2 CR3 wind shielding	17	By 12/05/2020, ABC will submit a development application to the relevant regulatory authority for construction of a CS2 CR2/CR3 conveyor wind shielding.	ABC understands building consent approval will not be required as the proposed works are withing the existing design parameters of the conveyor gantry structure. An expenditure proposal will be submitted to obtain funding for construction of CS2 CR2 CR3 conveyor wind shielding by the 9/11/2020.					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)									
	I		I	1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022					
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes					
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)						
5	Category Fugitive Dust - Conveyors	Project CS2 CR2 CR3 wind shielding	Action 18	(Compliance actions) Subject to development approval by 10/08/2020, ABC will obtain capital expenditure for the construction of a CS2 CR2 CR3 conveyor wind shielding by 9/11/2020.	Actions & Outcomes ABC has obtained approval for the expenditure required to construct the CS2 CR2 CR3 conveyor wind shielding In summary ABC will:  Refurbish the conveyor gantry purlins Reinstate cladding along the sides of CS2 CR2 CR3 clinker conveyor gantry Install floor cladding over the existing perforated floor					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)									
		1		1 March 2019 – De	ecember 2022					
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes					
Project	0		Action	(Compliance actions)						
5	Fugitive Dust - Conveyors	CS2 CR2 CR3 wind shielding	19	Subject to capital approval by 9/11/2020 and the annual kiln shutdown commencing on 4/1/2021, ABC will complete installation of the CS2 CR2 CR3 wind shielding by 01/02/2021. ABC has requested a variation due to COVID -19 impacts, delayed resources and annual plant outage as follows: Variation: Subject to capital approval by 9/11/2020 and the annual kiln shutdown commencing on 24/2/2021, ABC will complete installation of the CS2 CR2 CR3 wind shielding by 30/04/2021.	ABC Advised the EPA, that the project was progressing well but would not be completed as expected by 30/4/2021. The necessary work to prepare the CS2 conveyor structure before cladding could be applied had taken longer than anticipated. The roofing and cladding installation was completed on the 7/5/2021, and roof vents installed the following week. The photograph below shows the completed project.					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022									
FIP			Compliance	Project Milestones						
Project	Category	Project	Action	(Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes					
Project 6	Fugitive Dust – Storage/processing buildings	CM6 CF6 Clinker Transfer Point Dust Collector	Action 20	(Compliance actions) By 29/08/2019, ABC will complete an engineering design to enable capital approval for selection and installation of a suitable dust collector	<ul> <li>ABC has developed an engineering scope of work to reduce dust emissions at the clinker transfer onto Cement Mill 6 (CM6) Clinker Feed conveyor (CF6)</li> <li>Engineering analysis determined the existing dust collector is correctly sized, but dust capture and handling need improvement.</li> <li>The scope of work includes; <ul> <li>Redesigned ductwork to provide effective capture of dust at the clinker transfer point</li> <li>Improved dust collector discharge arrangements</li> </ul> </li> <li>These improvements will reduce dust loadings within CM6 building and will lead to lower overall fugitive dust emissions from the building.</li> </ul>					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022										
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes						
Project 6	Fugitive Dust –	CM6 CF6	Action 21	By 28/11/2019, ABC will	ABC has obtained approval for the expenditure necessary to reduce dust						
	Storage/processing	Clinker Transfer		obtain the capital	emissions at the CM6 CF6 Clinker transfer point.						
	bunungs	Point Dust		installation of a CM6							
		Collector		CF6 Clinker transfer							

Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)									
	1	1		1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022				
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
6	Fugitive Dust – Storage/processing buildings	CM6 CF6 Clinker Transfer Point Dust Collector	22	Subject to capital approval by 28/11/2019 and CM6 mill shutdown commencing 14/04/2020, ABC will complete commissioning of dust collection system by 6/07/2020. Variation: Subject to capital approval by 28/11/2019 and CM6 mill shutdown commencing in January 2021, ABC will complete commissioning of the dust collection system by 8/3/2021 EPA has accepted the variation. As CM6 shutdown has been rescheduled due to Covid -19 impact, ABC has applied for a variation as follows: Variation: Subject to capital approval by 28/11/2019 and CM6 mill shutdown in April 2021, ABC will complete commissioning of dust collection system by 30/06/2021.	Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the need to minimise health risks, the scope of work for CM6 shutdown has been significantly restricted to only those activities critical to ensure ongoing and safe operation of the mill. ABC advises that it is unable to install the dust collection system within the timeframes required by EIP Project 6 (during the CM6 shutdown) and seeks a variation in the completion date for the EIP Project. ABC proposes to install the dust collection system on the next CM6 shutdown which is expected to be during the annual shutdown planned for January 2021. ABC therefore requested a revised completion date of 8/3/2021: EPA has accepted the proposed variation.				

		Α	delaide Brighto	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP)	
FID			Compliance	1 March 2019 – D Project Milestones	ecember 2022
Project	Category	Project	Action	(Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes
6	Fugitive Dust –	CM6 CF6	22	Subject to capital	Engineering analysis determined the existing dust collector is correctly
	Storage/processi	Clinker		approval by	sized, but dust capture at the transfer point needed improvement.
	ng buildings	Transfer		28/11/2019 and CM6	
		Point Dust		mill shutdown in April	ABC has completed the installation of the redesigned duct work to provide
		Collector		2021, ABC will	effective capture of dust at the transfer point. This work was completed on
				complete	24 June 2021
				commissioning of	
				dust collection system	
				by 30/06/2021. Project completed	
					These improvements will reduce dust loadings within CM6 building and will lead to lower overall fugitive dust emissions from the building.

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022									
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes					
7	Fugitive Dust - Storage/processing buildings	CM6 Clinker Gantry entry air knives	23	By the 28/08/2020, ABC will complete the engineering design to enable capital expenditure approval for installation for CM6 Gantry air knives.	ABC has developed an engineering scope of work to reduce dust emissions from the CM6 clinker gantry doors when vehicles pass through. The system consists of a blower and ducting directing a stream of air around the CM6 gantry door, to minimise fugitive dust emissions from the shed when the door is open. By 30/10/2020, ABC will obtain the capital expenditure for installation for CM6 Gantry air knives.					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022									
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes					
EIP Project 7	Category Fugitive Dust - Storage/processing buildings	Project CM6 Clinker Gantry entry air knives	Compliance Action 24	Project Milestones (Compliance actions) By 30/10/2020, ABC will obtain the capital expenditure for installation for CM6 Gantry air knives.	ABC has approval for the necessary expenditure for installation for CM6 Gantry air knives.					

		Α	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviro	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP)
		1	1	1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)	
/	Fugitive Dust –	CIVI6	25	Subject to capital	ABC has completed the installation of the air knives and the system was
	Storage/processi	Clinker		approval by	operational from the 10/8/2021. The photos below show the installed air
	ng buildings	Gantry		30/10/2020, ABC will	knife duct work and one of the two identical air fans that have been
		entry air		complete the	installed.
		knives		installation of air	
				knives on the truck	
				entry to the CM6	
				Clinker Gantry by	
				17/05/2021.	
				Variation	
				ADC advised the FDA	
				ABC UUVISEU LITE EPA	
				informed ABC of	
				delays in the delivery	
				of the fane which	
				Uj the juns which	
				arrive until the end of	
				lune delavina	
				completion of the	
				nroiect The air knife	
				system design and	
				onsite electrical work	
				in preparation for	
				arrival of the fans had	
				heen completed	This project improves fugitive dust emissions from the CM6 Clinker Gantry
					door when vehicles pass through.
				Project completed	

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)								
		Γ		1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022				
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
8	Fugitive Dust - Dust Collectors	CM1 Dust Collector - redirect outlet	26	By 30/07/2019, ABC will complete an engineering design to enable capital approval for changing the CM1 Dust collector outlet to a vertical discharge.	<ul> <li>ABC has developed an engineering scope of work to change the CM1 Dust collector out let to a vertical discharge.</li> <li>The design includes: <ul> <li>Installing new ducting within the existing mill room building with a smooth radius turn to minimise turbulence</li> <li>The existing duct attenuator will be overhauled to further mitigate noise emissions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)								
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
8	Fugitive Dust - Dust Collectors	CM1 Dust Collector - redirect outlet	Action 27	(Compliance actions) By 31/07/2019, ABC will submit an application to the relevant regulatory authority for redirection of the CM1 Dust Collector outlet.	As the scope of work does not affect the structure or use of the building and considering all the work is being completed internally, building approval is not required.				

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)								
			1	1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022				
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes				
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)					
8	Fugitive Dust –	CM1Dust	28	Subject to development	ABC has obtained the funding to change the CM1 dust collector outlet to a vertical				
	Dust Collectors	Collector –		approval by	discharge.				
		Redirect		29/10/2019, ABC will					
		outlet		obtain the capital	ABC plans to complete the installation of the redirected CM1 dust collector outlet				
				expenditure for	In February 2020, as the planned CIVIL shutdown has been brought forward from				
				collector outlet to a	April 2020.				
				vertical discharge by					
				28/01/2020					

		A	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviro 1 March 2019 – Do	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP) ecember 2022
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes
8	Fugitive Dust – Dust Collectors	CM1Dust Collector – Redirect outlet	29	Subject to capital approval by 28/01/2020 and cement mill 1 shut down for maintenance commencing on the 14/04/2020, ABC will complete the installation of the redirected CM1 dust collector outlet by 20/04/2020. Project completed	<ul> <li>Funding obtained and changes completed on 04/02/2020, as CM1 shutdown was brought forward from April 2020.</li> <li>A vertical outlet on the dust collector improves dispersion and is predicted to reduce maximum 24-hr average concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> at the Community Park by 0.45 µg/m3</li> <li>Project has also reduced ground level noise on eastern side of CM1 building by 25 dBA</li> <li>Image: The test of test</li></ul>

		nmental Improvement Programme (EIP)			
		1	1	1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)	
9	Fugitive Dust –	Seal area –	30	By the 25/06/2019, ABC	ABC has developed an engineering scope of work and obtained estimated project
	Sealing exposed	north of		will complete the	costs. In summary:
	unsealed surfaces	reclaimer		engineering design to	• 1375 m <sup>2</sup> of unsealed surface is to be bituminised
		sned		enable capital approval	Sealed surface to blend in with existing paved areas
				for sealing 1200m <sup>2</sup> of	Additional car parking spaces to be provided
				unsealed surface area	Surface drainage into existing arrangements
				the reclaimer shed.	Drate plan below
					<ul> <li>Site Location below</li> <li>Site Location below</li> <li>A business case and a capital expenditure proposal will be submitted to obtain capital funding by the 24/09/2019.</li> </ul>

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)									
				1 March 2019 – Do	ecember 2022					
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes					
9	Fugitive Dust – Sealing exposed unsealed surfaces	Seal area – north of reclaimer shed	31	By 24/09/2019, ABC will obtain capital expenditure for sealing 1200m <sup>2</sup> of unsealed surfaces on the northern side of the reclaimer shed with bitumen	ABC has obtained capital expenditure approval to seal 1200m <sup>2</sup> of unsealed surfaces on the northern side of the reclaimer shed with bitumen. Implementation of this project has now commenced to enable the area to be sealed by 26/11/2019.					

		Α	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviro	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP)
			Compliance	1 March 2019 – D	
EIP	Category	Project	Action	(Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes
9	Category Fugitive Dust – Sealing exposed unsealed surfaces	Project Seal area – north of reclaimer shed	Action 32	(Compliance actions) Subject to capital approval by the 24/09/2019, ABC will seal 1200 m <sup>2</sup> of unsealed surface area on the northern side of the limestone reclaimer by 26/11/2019. Project completed	ABC has sealed 1200m² of unsealed surfaces on the northern side of the reclaimer shed with bitumen.         The bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now being utilised to provide additional car parking spaces – see photograph below.         Image: the bituminised area is now bituminised additises the bituminised additional car parking spa

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP)									
	I			1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022					
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes					
Project	Euclitica alucat	Castanas	Action	(Compliance actions)						
10	Fugilive dust -	Sedi died	55	by 1/04/2022, ABC	AMENDMENT.					
		North Of		engineering design to	sealing of the area north of the kills dependent on completion of a					
	ulisealeu	KIIN		engineering design to	separate non EIP project which may not now be progressed.					
	Surfaces			approval for sealing	An alternative area south of 4A ESP is now proposed to be sealed instead					
				1200m2 of unsealed	by 31 December 2023.					
				surface area to the						
				North of the kiln						
11	Fugitive dust -	Seal	36	By 22/04/2022, ABC	AMENDMENT:					
	Sealing exposed	contractor		will complete the	Sealing the area of the contractor compound north of the kiln was					
	unsealed	compound		engineering design to	dependent on completion of a separate project which may not now be					
	surfaces	(area far		enable capital	progressed.					
		North of		approval for sealing	Pafer to FIP Project number 10 for alternative proposed area to be sealed					
		KIIN)		1200m2 of unsealed	Refer to Lip project number 10 for alternative proposed area to be sealed.					
				North of the kiln						
				NOT UT OF LITE KIIT.						

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022									
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes					
12	Fugitive Dust - Storage/processing buildings	CM6 Cladding	39	By 31/08/2020, ABC will complete an engineering study to enable capital approval for upgrading/maintaining the Cement Mill 6 (CM6) building cladding to improve the capture of dust generated from activities inside the building.	ABC has developed an engineering scope of work to reduce dust emissions from the CM6 building Cladding will be applied to seal the building ventilation on the upper section of the western wall. This will improve the capture of dust generated from activities inside the building By 1/12/2020, ABC will obtain the capital expenditure to upgrade/maintain the CM6 Cladding.					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022								
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
12	Fugitive Dust - Storage/processing buildings	CM6 Cladding	40	By 1/12/2020, ABC will obtain the capital expenditure to upgrade/maintain the	ABC has approval for the expenditure to seal the CM6 building ventilation on the upper section of the western wall. ABC will complete the upgrade/maintain the cladding on CM6 by 30/04/2022.				
				CM6 Cladding.					
	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022								
---------	--	----------	------------	----------------------	---	--	--	--	--
	Γ	T	Γ	1 March 2019 – D	ecember 2022				
EIP	Category	Proiect	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes				
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)					
12	Fugitive Dust -	CM6	41	Subject to capital	This project was scheduled to occur during the Cement mill 6 shutdown				
	Storage/processi	Cladding		approval by	which was planned for April 2022.				
	ng buildings			1/12/2020, ABC will					
				complete the	The shutdown of CM6 mill has been delayed and is now currently				
				upgrade/maintain the	scheduled for October 2022.				
				cladding on CM6 by					
				30/04/2022.	ABC was unable to secure contractors to undertake the work during the				
					CM6 shutdown and is looking for the next available opportunity.				
				AMENDMENT:					
				Completion date has	Time frame for completion of this EIP is to be amended to 31 December				
				been amended to	2023 as a shutdown of CM6 is required for implementation of this EIP.				
				31 December 2023, as					
				a shutdown of CM6 is					
				required for					
				implementation of					
				this EIP.					

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022								
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
Project	Fugitive Dust - Storage/processing buildings	CM6 Gantry Cladding	Action 42	(Compliance actions) By 30/09/2021, ABC will complete an engineering study to enable capital approval to upgrade/maintain the CM6 Clinker Gantry cladding.	An engineering study has been completed, including a drone survey which has identified opportunities to improve the effectiveness of the gantry cladding to ensure the building remains well sealed.				

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022								
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
Project 13	Category Fugitive Dust - Storage/processing buildings	Project CM6 Gantry Cladding	Action 43	(Compliance actions) By 30/09/2021, ABC will submit a development application for CM6 Clinker Gantry cladding upgrade/maintenance.	Actions & Outcomes The work to be undertaken will not require a development application to be submitted.				

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022								
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
Project	Category Fugitive Dust - Storage/processing buildings	Project CM6 Gantry Cladding	Action 44	(Compliance actions) Subject to development approval, ABC will obtain the capital expenditure to upgrade/maintain the CM6 Clinker Gantry by 30/12/2021.	Actions & Outcomes ABC has approval for the expenditure to seal the CM6 Gantry building ABC will complete the upgrade/maintain the cladding on CM6 by 29/09/2022.				

		A	delaide Brightoi	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviroi	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP)
		1		1 March 2019 – Do	ecember 2022
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes
13	Fugitive Dust -	CM6	45	Subject to capital	ABC has approval for the expenditure to seal the CM6 Gaptry building
15	Storage/processi	Gantry		approval by	Abe has approval for the experiatore to sear the eivio Gantry building
	ng buildings	Cladding		30/12/2021, ABC will complete the CM6 Clinker Gantry cladding upgrade/maintenance by 29/09/2022. Project Completed	Photographs showing some of the sections where cladding has been replaced
					Image: the set of

		A	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviro	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP)
				1 March 2019 – Do	ecember 2022
EIP	Category	Project	Compliance	Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes
Project			Action	(Compliance actions)	
14	Noise	CM1/CM7	46	By 18/7/2019, ABC	ABC has developed an engineering scope of work and obtained estimated
		Clinker		will complete an	project costs.
		Gantry		engineering study to	
		Dust		enable approval of	In summary:
		Collector		capital expenditure to	
		Fans		reduce noise	<ul> <li>ABC will install duct noise attenuators on the CM1/CM7 Clinker</li> </ul>
				emissions from	Gantry Dust Collection Fans
				CM1/CM7 Clinker	
				Gantry Dust	An expenditure proposal will be submitted to obtain funding for
				Collection Fans	implementation by the 17/10/2019.

	Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022								
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes				
14	Noise	CM1/CM7 Clinker Gantry Dust Collector Fans	47	By 17/10/2019, ABC will commit to a noise attenuation option and obtain approval for the necessary expenditure.	ABC has obtained capital funding to install duct noise attenuators on the CM1/CM7 Clinker Gantry Dust Collection Fans. Subject to the annual plant shutdown commencing on 6/01/2020, ABC will implement the CM1/CM7 Clinker Gantry Dust Collection Fans by the 31/01/2020.				

Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd (ABC) Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) 1 March 2019 – December 2022								
1 March 2019 – December 2022								
EIP Category Project Compliance Project Milestones	Actions & Outcomes							
Project     Action     (compliance actions)       14     Naise     CN11/CN72     48     Subject to capital     ADC bas installes	d calittar cilonears, designed by the dust callector for							
14 Noise Civil/Civil 46 Subject to capital Abc has installed	CN1/CN17 Clinker Centry Dust Collection Fans							
Canter approval by supplier, on the C	CIVIT/CIVIT/CINIKER GAILTY DUST CONECTION Fails.							
Gantry 17/10/2019 and								
Dust annual plant								
Collector snutdown	****************							
implement the	1 新餐馆餐厅餐厅新餐厅新餐厅新餐厅 新餐厅 网络							
CM1/CM7 Clipker								
Gantry Dust								
Collection Fans by the								
31/01/2020								
Project completed	MININ							
i roject completed								
	E I LA TELEVISION							
	d poise lovels from the duct collectors fitted with the pow							
	a noise levels from the dust conectors fitted with the new							
	spitter silencer at 35-01 dbA							
The new	silencers have achieved a significant noise reduction of 10-							
12 dBA a	t source when compared with Vipac Engineers baseline							
measure	ments of 71dBA.							
	an acquistic orginaar in accordance with FID compliance							
ABC WII engage a	an accusul engineer in accordance with EIP compliance							

		A	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviror 1 March 2019 – De	imental Improvement Programme (EIP) ecember 2022
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes
15	Noise	BH Gas Train noise abatement (APA Project)	49	By the 27/02/2020, ABC will work with APA Group (owners of the Gas Train and equipment) to assess and implement further noise abatement options. APA is solely responsible for the selection and implementation of noise attenuation measures.	<ul> <li>ABC has worked with APA to further reduce noise levels from the Gas Train</li> <li>APA installed axial flow regulators, flow meter, associated pipework on duty leg of gas train - completed 19/02/2020</li> <li>A significant reduction of 10 - 12 dBA with the new equipment is indicated</li> <li>ABC will engage an acoustic engineer in accordance with EIP action 51 to validate noise reduction</li> </ul>
					After Modifications

		A	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviro 1 March 2019 – D	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP) ecember 2022
EIP			Compliance	Project Milestones	
Project	Category	Project	Action	(Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes
EIP Project 16	<b>Category</b> Noise	Project Kiln Feed Elevator Gear box	Compliance Action 50	Project Milestones (Compliance actions) By the 26/4/2019, ABC will have replaced the noisy gearbox on the kiln feed elevator and reinstate its Western cladding.	Actions & Outcomes Kiln feed elevator gear box has been replaced and Western cladding has been reinstated. • Photo showing gearbox installed • Output the state of the sta
					<ul> <li>Vipac (Acoustic Consultants) measured noise levels on 16/4/2019 from the replaced gearbox at 91dBA, at 1m distance from the gearbox.</li> <li>A reduction of 9dBA has been achieved (previously 100dBA in November 2018)</li> <li>Photo showing replacement of western cladding (dark blue section)</li> </ul>

		A	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviror 1 March 2019 – D	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP) ecember 2022
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes
17	EIP Project Evaluation	Evaluation of the improvem ent in fugitive dust and noise emissions from the Birkenhea d site.	51	Subject to completion of all noise abatement EIP projects (EIP Project numbers 14 to 16) by the 27/02/2020, ABC will submit a report by 31/05/2020, that assess and validates the reductions achieved through the implementation of these EIP actions that includes: An evaluation of noise emissions by undertaking site noise modelling in accordance with the Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007	<ul> <li>ABC engaged Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited (Vipac), to undertake an evaluation of EIP projects 14, 15 and 16, in accordance with the Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007.</li> <li>Vipac's report, "Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey - May 2020", dated 29 May 2020, provides a detailed evaluation and confirmation of the expected project outcomes. Vipac report is attached.</li> <li>ABC has prepared a report, "Summary report of the effectiveness of EIP Projects 14, 15 and 16 - EIP Project 17 – Compliance action 51", dated 29 May 2020.</li> <li>The ABC report summarises the effectiveness of the EIP projects 14, 15 and 16, based on the findings in the Vipac report "Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey - May 2020", dated 29 May 2020. The ABC report is attached.</li> <li>In summary: <ul> <li>All the EIP projects have been independently assessed by acoustic engineers, Vipac.</li> <li>The assessment confirms the effectiveness of EIP projects 14, 15 and 16.</li> <li>The expected project outcomes have been achieved, reducing off- site sound levels.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

		A	delaide Brighto	n Cement Ltd (ABC) Enviro 1 March 2019 – D	nmental Improvement Programme (EIP) ecember 2022
EIP Project	Category	Project	Compliance Action	Project Milestones (Compliance actions)	Actions & Outcomes
17	EIP Project	Evaluation	52	Subject to completion	AMENDMENT:
	Evaluation	of the		of all particulate dust	
		improvem		emissions EIP projects	Completion date of EIP Project 17 is proposed to be amended to
		ent in		(EIP Project numbers	31/12/2024, such that EIP Projects 10, 11 & 12 can be implemented prior
		fugitive		1 to 13) by the	to evaluation, and assessments associated with new licence conditions can
		dust and		29/09/2022, ABC will	also be considered.
		noise		submit a report by	
		emissions		23/12/2022, that	
		from the		assess and validates	
		Birkenhea		the reductions	
		d site.		achieved through the	
				implementation of	
				these EIP actions that	
				includes:	
				An evaluation of the	
				whole of site air	
				quality assessment in	
				accordance with the	
				Environment	
				protection (Air	
				Quality) Policy 2016	
				and EPA guidance	
				publication "Ambient	
				Air Quality	
				Assessment - 2016"	

62 Elder Road Birkenhead SA 5015

PO Box 77 Port Adelaide SA 5015



Telephone (08) 8300 0300 International +618 8300 0300 Facsimile (08) 8300 0597 www.adbri.com.au

Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd ABN 96 007 870 199

# Summary report of the effectiveness of EIP Projects 14, 15 and 16

# EIP Project 17 – Compliance action 51

Prepared by C. Mackenzie, Environmental and Sustainability Engineer 29/5/2020

# **1.0 Noise Emissions Evaluation Report:**

Compliance action 51 requires that a report assessing and validating the reductions achieved through implementation of EIP noise abatement projects (EIP Project numbers, 14,15 and 16), that includes an evaluation of noise emissions, by undertaking site noise modelling in accordance with the Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007.

Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited (Vipac), have undertaken an evaluation of these EIP projects in accordance with the Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007. Vipac's report, "Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey - May 2020", dated 29 May 2020, provides a detailed evaluation and confirmation of the expected project outcomes.

# 2.0 Summary of the effectiveness of EIP Projects 14, 15 and 16

ABC has summarised the effectiveness of the EIP projects 14, 15 and 16, based on the findings in the Vipac report "Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey - May 2020", dated 29 May 2020.

Effectiveness of the EIP projects can be verified through:

- Reduction in source sound pressure level dB(A) for each EIP project
- Reduction in contribution of the EIP nose source at off-site nose sensitive receptors
- Reduction in noise levels at off-site nose sensitive receptors

# 2.1 Reduction in EIP project source sound pressure level

Details of the implemented EIP noise abatement projects, along with the source sound pressure level, dB(A) reduction achieved are summarised in Table 1, EIP Noise Project Summary.

EIP	ect Project Title Project Summary		Measurement	Measured Sound Pressure Level, (dB(A)			
Project			Position and	2018	2019	2020	Reduction
Number			Distance	Survey	Survey	Survey	Achieved
14	CM1/CM7 Clinker Gantry Dust Collector Fans	Installed duct noise attenuators on the CM1/CM7 Clinker Gantry Dust Collection Fans. Completed January 2020	15 Metres	71	71	66	5
15	Gas Train noise abatement	Upgrade to control equipment on the duty leg of the APA gas train. Completed February 2020	1 metre from north end of the enclosure	73	67	60	7
16	Kiln Feed Elevator Gear box	Replaced noisy gearbox and reinstated the western cladding Completed April 2019	1 metre from the motor/gearbox on top of 4B tower	-	100	89	11

Table 1: EIP Noise Project Summary

Based on the results tabled above, there has been a significant reduction in source noise emissions for all the implemented EIP noise projects.

## 2.2 Predicted improvement in EIP project contribution at off-site sensitive noise receivers

Attended off-site noise measurements were undertaken at the receiver locations as shown in Figure 1:



Figure 1: Overview of attended monitoring locations

For each EIP project, Vipac modelled the predicted worst-case night-time noise level contribution at noise sensitive receiver locations, where the current noise levels exceed the night-time noise goal level (2019 noise model). In addition, Vipac estimated the reduction in noise level contribution that may be possible if practical abatement measures were applied to each EIP project.

Following implementation of the EIP projects, an attended day and night-time, off-site noise survey was undertaken by Vipac in May 2020, that included measurements at sensitive noise receivers. These attended noise measurements along with on-site noise measurements of the EIP projects were used to update the noise model (2020 model).

.

The change in predicted contribution to noise at sensitive noise receivers is summarised in Table 2.

Bessier	Naiza Course	Predicted C	Difference (2020/2010)	
necewer	Noise Source	2019 Model	2020 Model	dB(A)
	Gas train	46dB(A)	36dB(A)	-10
N2	CM 1&7 clinker gantry fans	35dB(A)	32dB(A)	-3
	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	34dB(A)	28dB(A)	-6
N/2	Gas train	45dB(A)	34dB(A)	-11
no	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	34dB(A)	28dB(A)	-6
	Gas train	53dB(A)	43dB(A)	-10
R2	CM1 &7CI nker Gantry Fans	44dB(A)	42dB(A)	-2
	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	37dB(A)	31dB(A)	-6
	Gas Train	46dB(A)	36dB(A)	-10
R5	CM1&7 gantry fans	49dB(A)	47dB(A)	-2
	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	36dB(A)	30dB(A)	-6
B12	CM1&7 gantry fans	51dB(A)	48dB(A)	-3
112	Gas train	47dB(A)	36dB(A)	-11
P15	Gas train	46dB(A)	36dB(A)	-10
n Ia	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	35dB(A)	29dB(A)	-6
B16	Gas train	48dB(A)	38dB(A)	-10
H16	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	37dB(A)	31dB(A)	-6

Table 2: Predicted change in contribution at sensitive receivers for each EIP project

Table 2 shows that each EIP project has resulted in a significant reduction in the contribution of the EIP noise source at sensitive noise receivers where night-time noise criterion levels are exceeded.

## 2.3 Reduction in noise levels at off-site nose sensitive receptors

Table 3: contains the noise model sound levels at off-site receiver locations for 2019 model results, 2019 model with predicted EIP noise abatement projects pre implementation and 2020 model results with EIP projects post implementation.

		Worst-Case Weather Conditions (CONCAWE Category 6) dB(A)				
Receiver ID	Night- Time Criterion	2019 Model Results	2019 Model Predictions with EIP Noise Abatement Projects (pre implementation)	2020 Model Results with EIP projects (post- implementation)	Difference (2019 Model Predictions with Noise Abatement Projects) and 2020 Model Results	
R2	49	56	54	53	-1	
R3	49	45	45	45	0	
R4	49	41	40	41	1	
R5	49	53	52	52	0	
R6	49	43	43	43	0	
R8	49	47	46	46	0	
R9	49	40	40	40	0	
R10	49	50	50	50	0	
R11	49	41	41	41	0	
R12	49	53	53	53	0	
R13	49	42	42	42	0	
R14	49	40	40	39	-1	
R15	49	52	51	51	0	
R16	49	53	53	53	0	
R17	49	43	43	43	0	
R18	49	41	41	40	-1	
N1	49	49	49	48	-1	
N2	49	50	49	48	-1	
N3	49	50	50	49	-1	

Table 3: Noise model sound levels at off-site noise locations.

Note: shaded cells indicate exceedance of night-time criterion

Comparison of this data shows that all the EIP projects have successfully achieved the expected / estimated EIP improvements, with reductions in noise levels at all receiver locations, except R4, being achieved with a further reduction of 1dB(A) at locations R2, R14, R18, N1, N2, N3. Location R4, shows a predicted increase of 1dB(A), however this location currently complies with the night-time criterion.

Table 3, and the night-time attended measurements show there are some locations where night-time noise levels exceed the night-time criteria, however most of these are less than 3dB(A). A 3dB(A) increase in sound pressure level is a just perceptible change for the average human ear.

## **3.0 Conclusions:**

All the EIP projects have been independently assessed by acoustic engineers, Vipac.

The assessment confirms the effectiveness of EIP projects 14, 15 and 16.

The expected project outcomes have been achieved, reducing off-site sound levels.

The attended noise measurements undertaken as part of this assessment show there are some off-site locations where the night-time noise policy criterion is exceeded, however most of these are less than 3dB(A) which is a sound pressure level that is a just perceptible change for the average human ear.



Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited 215 Portrush Road, Maylands, SA 5069, Australia

 t. +61 8 8334 0900
 f. +61 8 8362 0793
 e. adelaide@vipac.com.au

 w. www.vipac.com.au
 A.B.N. 33 005 453 627
 A.C.N. 005 453 627

# Vipac Engineers & Scientists

# Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd

# **Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey – May 2020**

# **Acoustic Report**



50B-20-0065-TRP-10950285-3

29 May 2020





Job Title:		Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey – May 2020					
Report Ti	tle:	Acoustic Report					
Documen	t Reference:	50B-20-0065-TRP-109502	85-3				
PREPARED FOR: Adelaide Brighton Cement Ltd PO Box 77 Port Adelaide, 5015, Australia CONTACT: Craig Mackenzie Tel: 08 8300 0512 Fax:			PREPARED BY: Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited 215 Portrush Road, Maylands, SA 5069, Australia Tel: +61 8 8334 0900 Fax: +61 8 8362 0793				
AUTHOR	ED BY:						
Saksham C Acoustic C	Saksham Garg Acoustic Consulting Engineer Date: 29 May 2020						
REVIEWE	D BY:						
Økog- Dr Peter Te Principal A	Dr Peter Teague Principal Accustic Consultant Date: 29 May 2020						
REVISION	HISTORY:						
Rev. #	Comments / De	etails of change(s) made		Date	Revised by:		
Rev. 00	Original issue			18/5/2020	S.Garg		
Rev. 01	Revised issue			26/05/2020	S.Garg		
Rev. 02	Revised issue			27/05/2020	S.Garg		
Rev. 03	Revised issue			29/05/2020	S.Garg		

NOTE: This report has been prepared solely for the benefit of the client to whom this report is addressed for use herein ("Client") unless otherwise agreed in writing by Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited ACN 005 453 627 ("Vipac"). Neither the whole of this report or any part of it may be published, duplicated or circulated without the prior written approval of Vipac except as required by law. Vipac does not assume any responsibility or liability for any losses suffered as a result of the publication, duplication or circulation of this report and excludes all liability whatsoever to any third party who may use or rely on the whole, or any part of this report.

Vipac has prepared this report using all reasonable care, skill and due diligence within the time period, budget and resources allocated to Vipac as agreed with the Client. Vipac excludes all liability to the Client whatsoever, whether in whole or in part, for the Client's use or reliance on the report other than for the purposes set out in the report, or any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

For the purposes of preparing this report, reliance has been placed upon the material, representations, information and instructions provided to Vipac unless otherwise stated in the report. Originals of documents provided have not been required and no audit or examination of the validity of the documentation, representations, information or instructions provided has been undertaken except to the extent otherwise stated in this report. Information and findings contained in this report are based on Vipac's interpretation of data collected.

This document contains commercial, conceptual, engineering and other information that is proprietary to Vipac. The inclusion of this information in the report does not grant the Client any license to use the information without Vipac's prior written permission.



TAE	BLE OF C	CONTENTS	
1	INTE	ODUCTION	5
2	REF	ERENCES	5
3	BAC	KGROUND	5
4	ASS	ESSMENT CRITERIA	6
4.1	Envii	onment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007	6
4.2	Adju	stment for characteristics	7
5	NOIS	SE SURVEY DETAILS	7
5.1	Off-S	ite Environmental Noise Survey	7
	5.1.1	Survey Methodology	7
	5.1.2	Survey Equipment	7
	5.1.3	Off-Site Survey Locations	8
5.2	On-S	Site Noise Survey	9
	5.2.1	Survey Methodology	9
	5.2.2	Survey Equipment	10
5.3	Surv	ey Weather Conditions	10
6	NOIS	SE MODELLING	10
6.1	Mode	elling Assumptions	10
6.2	Input	Data	10
6.3	Mode	elling Scenarios	11
6.4	Calib	ration	11
7	ASS	ESSMENT RESULTS & DISCUSSION	12
7.1	Off-S	ite Environmental Noise Survey	12
	7.1.1	Day-Time	12
	7.1.2	Night-Time	13
	7.1.3	Result Comparison with Previous Surveys	14
	7.1.4	Influence of Extraneous Noise Sources	16
7.2	On-S	ite Noise Survey	17
7.3	Com	puter Noise Model	18
	7.3.1	Noise Level Predictions	18
	7.3.2	Calibration Results	20
	7.3.3	Noise Source Contribution	21
	7.3.4	Temporary Noise Barrier	23
8	ADD	ITIONAL COMMENTS	25
		Appendix A : Environmental Noise Survey Results	26



Appendix B	: Noise Source Inventory	. 29
Appendix C	: Noise Contour Plots	. 34
Appendix D	: Meteorology Data	. 37
Appendix E	: Glossary of Acoustic Terminology	. 39



# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Vipac Engineers & Scientists (Vipac) were engaged by Adelaide Brighton Cement Limited (ABC) to undertake an on-site noise measurement survey of selected plant (plant upgraded or refurbished since previous noise survey in April 2019), environmental noise survey at residential locations within proximity of the ABC Birkenhead plant during typical operations and update the computer noise model (SoundPLAN model) for the plant based on the survey results. The noise survey (off-site and on-site) was conducted on Monday, 04 May 2020 between 12:00PM and 02:30AM the following day.

This report provides details of the results of the on-site and off-site attended noise survey, details of the noise model update and comparison of the results of the noise survey and the updated noise model predictions against the three most recent previous surveys.

# 2 REFERENCES

- [1] Vipac report 50B-18-0036-TRP-8950467-0 "Attended Noise Survey April 2019", dated 16 April 2019.
- [2] Vipac report 50B-18-0036-TRP-805659-2 "Attended Noise Survey June 2018", dated 10 August 2018.
- [3] Vipac report 50B-18-0036-TRP-6755126-2 "Birkenhead Environmental Noise–Noise Model Update and Abatement Options", dated 27 February 2019.
- [4] Environment Protection Act 1993, Government of South Australia (1995).
- [5] Licence No. 1126 Adelaide Brighton Cement Limited (issued 01 November 2017), Environment Protection Authority
- [6] Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007, Government of South Australia (2008).
- [7] Guidelines for the Use of the Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007, Environment Protection Authority (SA) (2009).
- [8] AS 1055.1-1997 Acoustics Description and measurement of environmental noise Part 1: General procedures, Standards Australian (1997).
- [9] Port Adelaide Enfield Council Development Plan (consolidated 30 April 2020), Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure (DPTI).
- [10] Australian Standard AS IEC 61672.1-2004 Electroacoustics Sound level meters Specifications, Standards Australia (2004).
- [11] Sonus Report S4682.1C3 "Adelaide Brighton Cement Birkenhead Plant Shutdown Noise Measurements", January 2020.

# 3 BACKGROUND

Adelaide Brighton Cement's Birkenhead operations are licensed by the EPA for conduct of an activity identified in Schedule 1 of the *Environment Protection Act 1993* [4]. Condition U-787 of ABC's EPA License for the Birkenhead site [5] relates to noise. Specifically, ABC is required to develop and implement a noise management plan for the site. Regular attended noise monitoring surveys, development of a computer noise model for the site, and identification and implementation of noise abatement projects form part of the noise management plan.

ABC, therefore, have engaged Vipac to conduct annual attended noise monitoring surveys (day and night time) in the community surrounding the plant and within the plant for selected equipment/machinery refurbished or upgraded in the preceding year, and to annually update a computer noise model for the site (most recently updated in February 2019 [3]).



This report provides details of the noise surveys, detailed computer noise model review, and provides a comparison of the predicted and measured noise levels against the historical data.

## 4 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

## 4.1 ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION (NOISE) POLICY 2007

Noise from industrial activities (such as those conducted at the ABC Birkenhead site) is subject to the provisions of the *Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007* (Noise EPP) [6]. The Noise EPP outlines Noise Goals which provide one method for demonstrating compliance with the General Environmental Duty under Section 25 of the *Environment Protection Act 1993* (the Act) [4]. Compliance with the Noise Goals may be achieved by demonstrating compliance with the Indicative Noise Levels (INLs) applicable to the site, as determined in accordance with Clause 5 of the Noise EPP and the relevant council Development Plan provisions.

Indicative Noise Levels have been calculated in accordance with the procedure outlined in Clause 5 of the Noise EPP and the relevant provisions of the Port Adelaide Enfield Council Development Plan (consolidated 30 April 2020) [9]. With reference to the Development Plan, the site is located partially within the "Industry" zone, and partially within the "Light Industry" zone (no policy areas or precincts apply to either locality). The nearest noise sensitive receptors (NSRs) are located within Policy Areas 57 and 65 of the "Residential" zone.

In accordance with the Development Plan, the "Industry" zone primarily accommodates industrial, warehouse, storage and transport land uses, and the "Light Industry" zone promotes light industry, service industry, storage and warehouse land uses. With reference to the *Guidelines for the Use of the Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007* [7], it is therefore considered that the "Industry" zone principally promotes the "General Industry" Land Use Category as set out in Table 2 of the Noise EPP, while the "Light Industry" zone principally promotes the "Light Industry" Land Use Category. With reference to the relevant development plan provisions, it is considered that the "Residential" zone (and associated policy areas 57 and 65) principally promote the "Residential" land use category.

As such, the following Indicative Noise Levels (INLs) apply to ABC's operations:

Zone	Indicative Noise Levels (L <sub>eq</sub> , dB(A))			
2016	Day-time (7am to 10pm)	Night-time (10pm to 7am)		
<b>Residential Zone</b> (Policy Area 57 – Le Fevre Peninsula East)	57	49		
<b>Residential Zone</b> (Policy Area 65 – Restricted Residential)	57	49		

Table 1 – Indicative Noise Levels

The above criteria have been updated to reflect the current zoning outlined in the current Port Adelaide Enfield Council Development Plan [9].

**NOTE:** The Environment Protection Authority (EPA) has advised that comparison of the L<sub>A90</sub> noise level descriptor measured within 100 metres of the centre line of Victoria Road with the above Indicative Noise Levels is an acceptable method for eliminating the influence of short-term/transient noise level events (such as intermittent passing road traffic, for example) on the results. This includes measurement positions N1, R2, R10, R12, R15 and R16. At distances greater than 100 metres, the use of the L<sub>Aeq</sub> descriptor is required.



#### 4.2 ADJUSTMENT FOR CHARACTERISTICS

For a noise containing a characteristic (tonal, impulsive, low frequency or modulating), the following adjustments are to be made to the source noise level:

- Noise containing 1 characteristic; a 5dB(A) penalty must be added to the noise level (continuous),
- Noise containing 2 characteristics; an 8dB(A) penalty must be added to the noise level (continuous),
- Noise containing 3 or 4 characteristics, a 10dB(A) penalty must be added to the noise level (continuous).

## 5 NOISE SURVEY DETAILS

#### 5.1 OFF-SITE ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SURVEY

#### 5.1.1 SURVEY METHODOLOGY

All measurements were conducted in accordance with the requirements of the *Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007* [6], with guidance from the *Guidelines for the Use of the Environment Protection (Noise) Policy* 2007 [7] and AS 1055.1-1997 [8].

The attended noise survey was conducted on 04 May 2020 between 12:00PM and 02:30AM the following day. The measurements were conducted during EPA defined day-time and night-time period, to measure the noise impact to the nearest noise sensitive receivers due to the plant operation. Following methodology and equipment were used to conduct the survey:

- Measurements using the noise descriptors L<sub>Aeq</sub> and L<sub>A90</sub> were taken for a period of 15 minutes at each receiver location.
- Where possible, measurements were paused to avoid influence from the extraneous sources (such as traffic, etc.).
- Where heavy traffic were observed (near or on Victoria Road), Vipac has provided comments to reflect the noise influence.

#### 5.1.2 SURVEY EQUIPMENT

The following equipment were used to conduct the off-site noise survey. Please note that the sound level meters satisfies the requirements of AS IEC 61672.1-2004 [10].

- Equipment 1:
  - <u>Model</u> Brüel & Kjær Type 2250 Class 1 sound level meter.
  - <u>Serial number</u> 3002257
  - <u>Calibration</u> Due for calibration on February 2022
  - <u>Spot calibration check</u> The calibration of the sound level meter was checked before and after measurements and no drift in sensitivity was detected.
- Equipment 2:
  - <u>Model</u> Brüel & Kjær Type 2250 Class 1 sound level meter.
  - <u>Serial number</u> 3002841
  - <u>Calibration</u> Due for calibration on May 2022
  - <u>Spot calibration check</u> The calibration of the sound level meter was checked before and after measurements and no drift in sensitivity was detected.



#### 5.1.3 OFF-SITE SURVEY LOCATIONS

Monitoring locations for both the day-time and night-time surveys were consistent with the previous attended measurement surveys, including the most recent survey conducted in June 2018 [1]. The monitoring locations are summarised in Table 2, with an overview of the monitoring locations provided in Figure 1.

Measurement Location	Location Address/ Description
R2	Corner of Alfred St and Hargrave St, Peterhead
R3	Adjacent to 145 Hargrave St, Peterhead (facing Fletcher Rd)
R4	Corner of Robert St and Hargrave St, Birkenhead
R5	Adjacent to 23 Levi St, Birkenhead
R6	Adjacent to 19 Craigie St, Birkenhead
R8	Adjacent to 39 Mary St, Peterhead
R9	Corner of Wills St and Whyte St, Peterhead
R10	Corner of Olive St and Victoria Rd, Largs Bay
R11	Adjacent to 158 Fletcher Rd, Largs Bay (facing east along Olive St)
R12	Adjacent to 33 Hilton St, Birkenhead
R13	Adjacent to 28 Whyte St, Peterhead (facing east down Matilda St)
R14	Adjacent to 15 Waverley St, Largs Bay
R15	Adjacent to 9 Walton St, Peterhead
R16	Adjacent to 77 Victoria Rd, Birkenhead
R17	Corner of Fletcher Rd and Rose St, Birkenhead (adjacent to 53 Fletcher Rd)
R18	Adjacent to 20 Fletcher Rd, Birkenhead (In the park)
N1	Corner of Gunn St and Well St, Birkenhead (adjacent to 39 Well St)
N2	Adjacent to 9 Mary St, Peterhead
N3	Corner of Walton St and Mary St, Peterhead (adjacent to 23 Mary St)

Table 2: Summary of off-site attended measurement locations
---





Figure 1: Overview of attended monitoring locations

## 5.2 ON-SITE NOISE SURVEY

## 5.2.1 SURVEY METHODOLOGY

An attended noise survey of selected plant on-site was conducted to obtain updated noise measurement data for items of plant which were serviced, refurbished or upgraded since the previous noise survey and computer noise model update. As identified by ABC, the plant/equipment serviced in the preceding year with upgrades/changes are listed in Table 3 below.

Plant/Equipment	Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) Number	Upgrade/Abatement Works	Time
CM1/CM7 Clinker Gantry Dust Collector	EIP Project 14	New discharge silencers installed to the fans	January 2020
Fans			bandary 2020
Gas Train	EIP Project 15	Upgrade works to the gas train assembly by APA group	February 2020
Kiln Feed Elevator Gear Box	EIP Project 16	Noisy gearbox replaced and cladding to the western end reinstalled	April 2019
CM1 Dust Collector Fan	EIP Project 8	Discharge ducting redirected from horizontal discharge to vertical	February 2020
Kiln Refractory	-	Installation of temporary noise barrier to reduce noise impact due to activities associated with Kiln Refractory demolition. Barrier installed in accordance with Sonus Report S4682.1C3 [11]	January 2020

Table 3: EIP Projects undertaken since previous noise survey



Noise measurements were conducted generally at a distance of 1-5 metres from the subject plant. Where possible, measurements were conducted at the same position as during previous surveys [1].

#### 5.2.2 SURVEY EQUIPMENT

The following equipment were used to conduct the on-site noise survey. Please note that the sound level meters satisfies the requirements of AS IEC 61672.1-2004 [10].

- Equipment Details
  - Model Brüel & Kjær Type 2250 Class 1 sound level meter.
  - <u>Serial number</u> 3002257
  - <u>Calibration</u> Due for calibration on February 2022
  - <u>Spot calibration check</u> The calibration of the sound level meter was checked before and after measurements and no drift in sensitivity was detected.

#### 5.3 SURVEY WEATHER CONDITIONS

Temperatures ranging between 11 - 17 degrees Celsius were observed throughout the survey, with wind speeds of between 0 - 5.4m/s observed during the noise survey. No rainfall was observed. The meteorological data is presented in Appendix D.

## 6 NOISE MODELLING

Based on the results of the attended noise monitoring survey (on-site and off-site), and the plant data provided by ABC, the existing noise model for the Birkenhead plant was significantly updated. Noise level predictions for each of the annual off-site attended measurement survey positions were generated, along with noise contour plots for "neutral" and "worst case" weather conditions. Dominant noise sources were identified for each survey position (where possible), and the effect of significantly reducing or eliminating the noise source was then investigated. Details of the updated noise model, and the methodology followed in constructing the noise model and generating predictions are presented below.

#### 6.1 MODELLING ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions were relied upon in developing the 3D computer noise model (using SoundPLAN software) for the Birkenhead cement plant:

- The ground areas within and surrounding the ABC Birkenhead plant were assumed to be flat terrain.
- Ground absorption within the Birkenhead site was assumed to be fully reflective (based on on-site observations and Vipac's previously validated predictive noise model of the Birkenhead cement plant).
- Ground absorption outside of the site was assumed to be partially reflective grass terrain, with the exception of roads and other reflective surfaces which were assumed to be fully reflective.
- The heights and location of buildings and other on-site structures were generally based on the information provided by ABC (plant geometry provided in dxf format on 08 November 2018) and on-site observations.
- All doors and openings closed during operations.
- Traffic noise and other noise sources not included.

#### 6.2 INPUT DATA

Sound power levels for each noise source were calibrated based on on-site survey data conducted on 04 May 2020. Please note that source noise levels for plant equipment refurbished/upgraded were measured during the site survey. As such, computer noise model was only updated with the noise measurements for the



upgraded plant (refer Table 3), whereas, for the remaining sources the sound power level was based on previous data.

Details of all noise sources included in the model, their sound power level, and position within the plant (including measurement position) are provided in Appendix B.

#### 6.3 MODELLING SCENARIOS

Noise predictions were generated for "neutral" and "worst-case" meteorological conditions corresponding to the recommended conditions detailed in the *Guidelines for the Use of the Environment Protection (Noise) Policy 2007* [7] for each of the above modelling scenarios. In accordance with standard practice, noise predictions were also generated for the existing situation using weather conditions corresponding to the 04 May 2020 attended measurement survey (average wind speed of 2m/s) for the purposes of calibration of the updated noise model against the survey results.

#### 6.4 CALIBRATION

As discussed above, noise model calibration was carried out against the results of Vipac's most recent noise monitoring survey. Noise level predictions at each standard receiver position (R1-R18, N1-N3) were generated for the current situation using meteorological conditions corresponding to the 04 May 2020 night-time attended measurement survey (average wind speed of 2m/s), with the results compared against the noise levels measured at the relevant location during the survey. Meteorological conditions were based on a combination of on-site observations (for wind speed and direction), and Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) data for other parameters (temperature, pressure and humidity).

A comparison of the predicted noise levels from the computer noise model and the attended off-site survey has been discussed in Section 7.3.2 below. The predicted results show good agreement with measured noise levels.



# 7 ASSESSMENT RESULTS & DISCUSSION

## 7.1 OFF-SITE ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SURVEY

The off-site noise survey results for day-time and night-time period are presented in Appendix A, with results discussion and comparison against historical data discussed below. As discussed in Section 4.1 above, for measurement positions within 100 metres of the centreline of Victoria Road the L<sub>A90</sub> descriptor has been used to eliminate the influence of short-term transient noise sources (such as passing road traffic) from the results. For each measurement position, the descriptor used for comparison with the noise goal is indicated by bold text and shading in the results table in Appendix A.

## 7.1.1 DAY-TIME

The day-time attended survey was conducted between 12:15PM and 14:15PM on Monday, 04 May 2020, with following conditions observed during the survey:

- Temperatures ranging between 16 17 degrees Celsius were observed throughout the survey period.
- Wind speeds of between 0 4.5m/s observed during the noise survey.
- No rainfall was observed.

The results of the day-time survey are provided in Appendix A.

Both the L<sub>Aeq</sub> and L<sub>A90</sub> noise levels met the day-time criterion for all measurement locations, with the exception of the following (the descriptor used for comparison with the noise goal is indicated by bold text and shading).

Location	L <sub>Aeq</sub> , [dB(A)]	L <sub>A90</sub> , [dB(A)]	Criterion [dB(A)]	Exceedance [dB(A)]
R3	58	44	57	1
R9	58	37	57	1
R10	72	59	57	2
R11	59	39	57	2
R16	76	61	57	4
R17	65	42	57	8
R18	61	42	57	4

Table 4: Day-time survey exceedances

Receivers R3, R9, R10 and R11 show minor exceedance, which are influenced by the road traffic noise, with frequent vehicles pass-by on Fletcher Road, Victoria Road and Hargrave Street contributing significantly to noise levels. The measurements at R11 were also influenced by the continuous construction noise from a nearby residential property.

The exceedance at location R16 resulted due to continuous traffic movements (heavy traffic with frequent truck pass-by) along Victoria Road. The measured noise levels at R17 were heavily influenced by frequent dog barking in the nearby property and traffic movements along Fletcher Road. Measured levels at R18 were affected by the continuous noise from the nearby playground (group of children playing) and traffic noise from Fletcher Road. Please note that due to the extraneous noise influence, the plant was inaudible at R17 and R18.

Notwithstanding, the LA90 noise levels at R3, R9, R11, R17 and R18 comfortably met the day-time noise goal.



#### 7.1.2 NIGHT-TIME

The night-time survey was conducted between 10:15PM on Monday, 04 May 2020, and 2:30AM on Tuesday, 05 May 2020, with following conditions observed during the survey:

- Temperatures between 7 10 degrees were observed throughout the survey period.
- Wind speeds between approximately 1 3.1m/s observed over the course of the survey.
- No rainfall was observed.

The results of the night-time survey are provided in Appendix A.

Both the  $L_{Aeq}$  and  $L_{A90}$  noise levels met the day-time criterion for all measurement locations, with the exception of the following (the descriptor used for comparison with the noise goal is indicated by bold text and shading).

Location	L <sub>Aeq</sub> , [dB(A)]	L <sub>A90</sub> , [dB(A)]	Criterion [dB(A)]	Exceedance [dB(A)]
R2	56	54	49	5
R3	52	49	49	3
R4	51	44	49	2
R5	54	52	49	5
R12	56	54	49	5
R15	56	53	49	4
R16	58	55	49	6
R17	50	45	49	1
R18	50	46	49	1
N2	52	49	49	3
N3	53	50	49	4

Table 5	Night-time	survev	exceedance	2,9
Table J.	ingin-une	Suivey	exceedance	-0

The exceedance at R2, R3, R4, R5, R15, R17, N2 and N3 were mostly associated with traffic noise influence from nearby road (Victoria Road and Fletcher Road). However, during the measurement period, an intermittent screeching noise (possibly from drag chain conveyor) was clearly audible and contributed to the overall measured levels. Please note that the screeching noise was also observed within the plant while on-site noise survey. ABC advised that the noise was an unusual occurrence and was later rectified after the survey (further discussed in Section 8). As such, Vipac notes that the distinctive screeching noise stopped after 11:45PM and therefore, for all the measurements conducted after 11:45PM, the screeching noise was not observed.

The measured noise levels at R12, R16 and R18 were influenced by traffic noise from Victoria Road and Fletcher Road. The plant was clearly audible at all of the locations, however, the distinctive screeching noise was only audible at R15.

In addition to above, Vipac notes that contributions from distant traffic noise and potentially other industrial sites to the east were also noted in a number of measurements, which may have increased the measured noise levels. This is particularly relevant considering that wind conditions favourable to noise propagation



(slight easterly breeze) were present during the night-time survey, which may have increased the contribution of these sources to measured noise levels. As such, the noise levels measured during the night-time survey are likely to be representative of worst case noise emissions from the plant, and are useful for validation of future computer noise model predictions for the plant. Weather conditions are discussed further below.

Notwithstanding, the LA90 noise levels at R3, R4, R17, R18 and N2 met the night-time noise goal.

## 7.1.3 RESULT COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS

T

The L<sub>A90</sub> noise levels measured during the 2020 attended measurement survey were compared against the noise levels measured during the two most recent attended measurement surveys (2019 [1] and 2018 [2]). Similar to our previous surveys, the L<sub>A90</sub> descriptor was considered to provide a more meaningful comparison between measurement surveys as it is less susceptible to the influence of extraneous transient noise sources. Table 6 and Table 7 show the comparison between the 2020 survey and preceding year results.

	Day-Time L <sub>A90</sub> Noise Level (dB(A))							
Receiver Location	Criterion	2018	2019	2020	Difference (2019/2020)			
R2	57	54	53	53	0			
R3	57	47	45	44	-1			
R4	57	39	34	38	+4			
R5	57	49	48	49	+1			
R6	57	37	35	38	+3			
R8	57	46	48	41	-7			
R9	57	37	38	37	-1			
R10	57	-	56	59	+3			
R11	57	38	41	39	-2			
R12	57	50	52	53	+1			
R13	57	40	36	36	0			
R14	57	36	39	36	-3			
R15	57	52	53	50	-3			
R16	57	-	62	61	-1			
R17	57	42	37	42	+5			
R18	57	38	38	42	+4			
N1	57	46	47	47	0			
N2	57	47	44	42	-2			
N3	57	48	50	44	-6			

Table 6: Da	v-Time 3	Survev	Results	Comparison
1 abio 0. Da	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	carroy	110004110	Companioon



	Night-Time L <sub>A90</sub> Noise Level (dB(A))							
Receiver Location	Criterion	2018	2019	2020	Difference (2019/2020)			
R2	49	55	54	54	0			
R3	49	48	48	49	+1			
R4	49	43	41	44	+3			
R5	49	51	50	52	+2			
R6	49	44	46	46	0			
R8	49	47	48	47	-1			
R9	49	40	41	42	+1			
R10	49	49	47	47	0			
R11	49	39	41	39	-2			
R12	49	51	52	54	+2			
R13	49	43	43	43	0			
R14	49	40	41	42	+1			
R15	49	53	53	53	0			
R16	49	54	56	55	-1			
R17	49	43	43	45	+2			
R18	49	41	43	46	+3			
N1	49	48	48	49	+1			
N2	49	50	48	49	+1			
N3	49	51	50	50	0			

## Table 7: Night-Time Survey Results Comparison

Noise levels were observed to decrease at a number of locations, however; a number of increases were also observed. The discrepancies may be attributed to a number of factors, such as:

- The day of the week and time of day that the measurement was conducted,
- Extraneous noise sources present during the measurement,
- Weather conditions during the measurement (such as temperature, humidity, wind speed and wind direction); and,
- Specific site operations during the measurement.

As discussed above, the day-time noise measurements were heavily influenced by road traffic noise and other activities around the measurement locations (such as children playing in the playground, construction noise, resident noise, etc.). Hence, Vipac considers the night-time measurements provide appropriate comparison to the historical data.



In terms of human response to change in noise levels, a 3 dB(A) increase in sound pressure level is just perceptible to the average human ear to notice a change, a 5 dB(A) increase is quite noticeable and a 10 dB(A) increase is typically perceived as a doubling in loudness. As such, based on the results presented above, despite noise levels at some positions increasing compared with the 2019 survey, night-time measured noise level differences at most of the locations are below 3 dB(A), except at location R4 and R18. Measurement positions R4 and R18, where the noise levels were observed to have increased are located further from the plant, and as such are susceptible to increased influence from extraneous noise sources.

The night-time noise measurements at receivers other than R4 and R18 showing exceedance compared to 2019 data were influenced by the traffic movements (Victoria Road and Fletcher Road) and the distinctive screeching noise from the plant. As advised by ABC the screeching noise was later rectified and therefore, it is expected that the actual incident noise levels due to the plant operation at these receivers would be lower than the measured levels presented above.

## 7.1.4 INFLUENCE OF EXTRANEOUS NOISE SOURCES

With reference to the survey results and our previous surveys, the L<sub>A90</sub> noise levels were found to be a better descriptor of the plant noise emissions, which is expected due to the steady-state nature of the majority of the noise emitted by the plant. However, Vipac notes that frequent traffic noise from nearby roads and noise from other industrial properties (particularly at night when weather conditions were more favourable to noise propagation from distant sources) may have also contributed to the background noise level. As such, the measured L<sub>A90</sub> background noise levels during the survey may be considered to represent a slight overestimate of the noise level contributed by the ABC plant to the measured noise levels.

Irrespective of the noise conditions during the measurement, the  $L_{A90}$  noise levels are considered to be a better estimate of noise emissions than the  $L_{Aeq}$  noise levels for ABC's operations. As discussed above, the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) has advised that the  $L_{A90}$  descriptor may be used only for locations in close proximity to Victoria Road (within 100 metres of the centreline of the road), which includes measurement positions N1, R2, R10, R12, R15 and R16.

Even though care was taken to minimise the influence of extraneous noise sources (such as passing vehicles, and traffic on nearby major roads) by pausing the sound level meter and erasing the extraneous noise influence (by using back erase function in B&K Sound Level Meter), it was not possible to entirely remove the influence of these noise sources. In particular, high volumes of road traffic on Victoria Road influenced the measurements at locations R10 and R16 to such a degree that road traffic noise is overwhelmingly the dominant noise source at these positions. As such, the results presented in Appendix A for these measurement locations provide a representation of the traffic noise impact at these locations, and are not reflective of noise emissions from the ABC site.

Due to the lower traffic volume at night, better quality measurements were obtained at R10 and R16 during the night-time survey, and the measured  $L_{A90}$  noise levels at these locations provide a reasonable estimate of worst-case noise emissions at these locations. Similarly, frequent vehicle movements on Fletcher Road made measurements at locations R3, R11, R17 and R18 difficult, particularly during the day-time survey.

As such, due to the influence of extraneous noise sources in the measured levels, the results do not necessarily reflect an exceedance of the noise criteria due to ABC operations alone and essentially provide an upper limit to the noise levels that may be contributed by ABC's operations.



#### 7.2 ON-SITE NOISE SURVEY

An attended noise survey of selected plant on-site was conducted to obtain updated noise measurement data for items of plant which were serviced, refurbished or upgraded since the previous noise survey. The results of the survey are presented in Table 8 below, along with the corresponding results from the most recent previous survey.

Plant/Equipment	EIP Number	Measurement	Measured Sound Pressure Level, dB(A)			Notos
FlandEquipment		Distance	2020 Survey	2019 Survey	2018 Survey	Notes
CM1/CM7 Clinker Gantry Dust Collector Fans	EIP Project 14	15 metres	66	71	71	Note 1
Gas Train	EIP Project 15	1 metre from north end of the enclosure	60	67	73	Note 2
Kiln Feed Elevator Drive (Top of 4B Tower)	EIP Project 16	1 metre from the motor/gearbox on top of 4B tower	89	100	-	Note 3
CM1 Dust Collector Fan (DC 26)	EIP Project 8	12 metres approximately	79	80	-	Note 4

	D		- *			
I ANIE X' FIF	Projects	undertaken	SINCE	nrevious	noise	SURVEV
	1 10/0010	unachtanon	01100	provious	1000	Survey

Based on the results presented above, Vipac comments as follows:

- Note 1 Vipac notes that a significant reduction (5 dB(A)) in the noise emissions from the Clinker dust collector fans was observed. As expected, the installation of attenuators to the discharge point, reduced the noise emissions.
- Note 2 A reduction of 7 dB(A) was observed when compared to 2019 survey results. In addition to the
  reduction of overall noise levels, the tonal components observed during 2019 survey were no longer
  observed in the measured levels.
- Note 3 A significant reduction (11 dB(A)) in the noise emanating from the 4A elevator drive on top of 4B tower. No tonal components were observed from the elevator drive. However, Vipac believes that structure borne noise due to vibrations from the system contributed to the measured noise levels, which was observed during the survey (the support structure and the platform was vibrating).
- Note 4 During 2019 survey, this measurement was conducted at 1.5m from the discharge point. However, since the last survey, discharge point has been reoriented from horizontal to vertical discharge. Hence, a measurement at 1.5m was not possible during this survey. As such, the 2019 survey values have been readjusted for 12m distance for consistency. The results indicate a reduction of 1dB(A). Vipac notes that the reduction of 1dB(A) is insignificant and would result in no change in incident noise levels at noise sensitive receivers.

Whilst not intended as a noise reduction project, changing the discharge directivity from east to vertical (with increase in height) had no significant impact on the noise sensitive receivers. However, a significant reduction in noise levels on the ground level on the eastern side of CM1 building was observed (also observed by ABC operators). As such, Vipac measured noise levels of 74dB(A) averaged over the entire eastern façade of the building, which was 3dB(A) lower than the noise levels measured during the November 2019 survey (77dB(A) averaged over the entire eastern façade of the building) [3].



#### 7.3 COMPUTER NOISE MODEL

## 7.3.1 NOISE LEVEL PREDICTIONS

The computer noise model representing the current operations of the ABC Birkenhead plant predicts the incident noise levels at each noise sensitive receiver location used for the attended noise survey during daytime and night-time period, as highlighted in Figure 1 and Table 2 above. The model predicted noise levels for "neutral" and "worst case" meteorological conditions (as discussed above). The predicted noise levels are presented in Table 9 below. For comparison, the noise level predictions for each location prior to updating the model are also presented (as detailed in Vipac's previous report [3]). At some locations, predicted noise levels generated by the updated noise model differed from the previous noise model by up to 3dB(A). This is due to an emphasis on ensuring that predicted noise levels match measured noise levels as accurately as possible (as discussed in the preceding section), particularly those closer to the plant where measured noise levels are less influenced by extraneous noise sources. Updated plant geometry and directivity patterns of noise sources having been updated to better match with real-world conditions has also contributed to a discrepancy between previous noise level predictions and those generated by the updated noise model.

Receiver	Night-Time	Neutral Weather Conditions (CONCAWE Category 4) dB(A)			Worst- Case Weather Conditions (CONCAWE Category 6) dB(A)			
ID	Criterion	2020 Model Results (Updated)	2019 Model Results (Previous)	Difference	2020 Model Results (Updated)	2019 Model Results (Previous)	Difference	
R2	49	51	54	-3	53	56	-3	
R3	49	43	43	0	45	45	0	
R4	49	38	38	0	41	41	0	
R5	49	50	51	-1	52	53	-1	
R6	49	40	41	-1	43	43	0	
R8	49	44	45	-1	46	47	-1	
R9	49	37	37	0	40	40	0	
R10	49	46	46	0	50	50	0	
R11	49	38	39	-1	41	41	0	
R12	49	49	50	-1	53	53	0	
R13	49	39	39	0	42	42	0	
R14	49	36	37	-1	39	40	-1	
R15	49	50	50	0	51	52	-1	
R16	49	51	51	0	53	53	0	
R17	49	40	41	-1	43	43	0	
R18	49	38	39	-1	40	41	-1	
N1	49	47	47	0	48	49	-1	
N2	49	46	47	-1	48	50	-2	
N3	49	47	48	-1	49	50	-1	

Table 9: Computer Noise Modelling (SoundPLAN) Results

**Note:** Corresponding noise contour maps are provided in Appendix C.



During the previous (2019) noise model update, Vipac provided noise predictions considering certain noise abatement projects (CM1/CM7 Clinker Gantry fans, Gas Train and 4A Kiln Feed Elevator Drive Gearbox). As such, Table 10 below provides a further comparison of the updated noise model predictions against the results predicted with noise abatement projects in place in our previous (2019) model update [3].

Dessiver	Worst- Case Weather Conditions (CONCAWE Category 6) dB(A)						
Receiver	2020 Model Results (Updated)	2019 Model Predictions with Noise Abatement Projects	Difference				
R2	53	54	-1				
R3	45	45	0				
R4	41	40	1				
R5	52	52	0				
R6	43	43	0				
R8	46	46	0				
R9	40	40	0				
R10	50	50	0				
R11	41	41	0				
R12	53	53	0				
R13	42	42	0				
R14	39	40	-1				
R15	51	51	0				
R16	53	53	0				
R17	43	43	0				
R18	40	41	-1				
N1	48	49	-1				
N2	48	49	-1				
N3	49	50	-1				

Tahle	10.	Noise	Model	Results	Comp	arison

The results provide appropriate comparison between the previous model and the updated model predictions. In most cases the updated model verifies with the 2019 model predictions with a further reduction of 1dB(A) at R2, R14, R18, N1, N2 and N3 receivers, except receiver R4 which predicts noise levels 1dB(A) higher.

As such, Vipac notes that the EIP Projects implemented by ABC have successfully reduced the noise emissions from the upgraded/refurbished plant and provide noise level reductions as expected/estimated by Vipac in the 2019 noise model.


#### 7.3.2 CALIBRATION RESULTS

A comparison of the computer noise model predictions against the off-site noise measurements (night-time), has been presented below:

Receiver	Predicted Noise Levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> , dB(A))	Measured Noise Levels (L <sub>A90</sub> , dB(A))	Difference
R2	53	54	-1
R3	45	49	-4
R4	41	44	-3
R5	52	52	0
R6	43	46	-3
R8	46	47	-1
R9	40	42	-2
R10	50	47	3
R11	41	39	2
R12	53	54	-1
R13	42	43	-1
R14	39	42	-3
R15	51	53	-2
R16	53	55	-2
R17	43	45	-2
R18	40	46	-6
N1	48	49	-1
N2	48	49	-1
N3	49	50	-1

Table 11: Results Comparison

Predicted noise levels generated by the updated model were within +/- 3dB of measured noise levels for most representative receiver locations, indicating good agreement with measured noise levels. The measured noise levels exceeded the predicted noise levels by 4 dB(A) and 6dB(A) at locations, R3 and R18, respectively. As discussed above (refer Section 7.1.2), the measurements at R3 and R18 were heavily influenced by the extraneous noise sources (traffic noise, etc.), which may have resulted in the discrepancy.

As such, considering the complexity of the computer noise model, the predicted results show good calibration with the measured noise levels.



#### 7.3.3 NOISE SOURCE CONTRIBUTION

Based on the predicted noise levels presented for the current situation in Table 9 above, the noise sources predicted to contribute most significantly to off-site noise levels were able to be identified. For receiver locations where worst-case predicted noise levels exceed the Noise EPP night-time goals, the most significant sources and their contributions to noise levels at the receiver location were identified.

The predicted worst-case night-time noise levels at noise sensitive receiver locations where current noise levels exceed the night-time goal noise level, along with the most significant noise sources at each location and their relative contribution to worst-case predicted noise levels at that location are presented in Table 12.

Receiver	Worst-case predicted noise level	Noise Source	Contribution
		Road Bulk Station DC30	45dB(A)
		Gas Train	43dB(A)
R2	53	CS4/CS5 Dust Collector Fan	42dB(A)
		Kiln Cooling Fans	37dB(A)
		CM1&7 Clinker Gantry Fans	42dB(A)
		Gas Train	36dB(A)
R5	52	CM1&7 Clinker Gantry Fans	47dB(A)
		Road Bulk Station DC30	42dB(A)
		Kiln 4 airslide fan	40dB(A)
P10	50	CM1 (western façade)	39dB(A)
	50	4B EP duct	40dB(A)
		Woodchip plant 2	40dB(A)
		CM1&7 gantry fans	48dB(A)
		CM7 (western façade)	47dB(A)
R12	53	Gas train	36dB(A)
		Limestone reclaimer shed	41dB(A)
		CSC Compressor	39dB(A)
		Gas train	36dB(A)
		Kiln 4 airslide fan	38dB(A)
R15	51	4B EP duct	39dB(A)
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	51	Woodchip plant 1	39dB(A)
		Woodchip plant 2	37dB(A)
		Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	29dB(A)
		Gas train	38dB(A)
R16	53	Limestone reclaimer shed	48dB(A)
	55	CM7 (western façade)	41dB(A)
		Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	31dB(A)

Table 12: Most significant noise sources

29 May 2020



Based on the results presented above, Vipac notes that the noise contribution of the sources refurbished or serviced during the preceding year have shown a noise reduction. As a comparison, the reduction in noise contribution of these sources at noise sensitive receiver locations where current noise levels exceed the night-time goal noise level have been presented in Table 13 below:

Dessiver	Noise Course	Predicted C	Difference	
Receiver	Noise Source	2019 Model	2020 Model	(2020/2019) dB(A)
	Gas train	46dB(A)	36dB(A)	-10
N2	CM 1&7 clinker gantry fans	35dB(A)	32dB(A)	-3
	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	34dB(A)	28dB(A)	-6
N2	Gas train	45dB(A)	34dB(A)	-11
NO	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	34dB(A)	28dB(A)	-6
	Gas train	53dB(A)	43dB(A)	-10
R2	CM1&7Clinker Gantry Fans	44dB(A)	42dB(A)	-2
	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	37dB(A)	31dB(A)	-6
	Gas Train	46dB(A)	36dB(A)	-10
R5	CM1&7 gantry fans	49dB(A)	47dB(A)	-2
	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	36dB(A)	30dB(A)	-6
<b>P</b> 12	CM1&7 gantry fans	51dB(A)	48dB(A)	-3
112	Gas train	47dB(A)	36dB(A)	-11
P15	Gas train	46dB(A)	36dB(A)	-10
K15	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	35dB(A)	29dB(A)	-6
P16	Gas train	48dB(A)	38dB(A)	-10
	Kiln Feed Elevator Gearbox	37dB(A)	31dB(A)	-6

Tahla	13. Most	significant	nnisa	SOURCAS
rable	13. 10051	Signincant	noise	sources

Based on the results above, Vipac comments as follows:

• **Gas Train** – The gas train rectification works conducted by APA Group have resulted in significant reduction in noise emanating from the enclosure. The onsite measurement results indicated a reduction of approximately 7dB(A) at 1m from the enclosure (refer Table 8 above) and the computer noise model predictions indicate a reduction of approximately 10-11dB(A) at the nearest noise sensitive receivers (refer Table 13 above). A higher reduction in noise levels at the noise sensitive receivers may have resulted due to elimination of the tonal component, which was observed during the previous surveys (tonal penalty of 5 dB(A) was added in previous model). Vipac notes, that in comparison to the previous year survey, the noise from the gas train was not clearly audible at the off-site measurement locations during 2020 noise survey.



- **CM1 & CM7 Clinker Gantry Dust Collector Fans –** The EIP project undertaken by ABC involved installing attenuators/silencers to each of the fan discharge points. The onsite measurements results showed a reduction of 5dB(A) at 15m from the discharge location (refer Table 8 above) and the computer noise model predictions indicate a reduction of 2-3 dB(A) at the nearest noise sensitive receivers (refer Table 13 above).
- 4A Kiln Feed Elevator Drive (on top of Tower 4B) The changes to the elevator drive involved replacing the noisy gearbox and reinstalling the western end cladding. The onsite measurements results showed a reduction of 11dB(A) at 1m from the gearbox (refer Table 8 above) and the computer noise model predictions indicate a reduction of 6 dB(A) at the nearest noise sensitive receivers (refer Table 13 above). Vipac notes that a lower reduction in noise levels at the noise sensitive receivers may have resulted due reflections from the surrounding structure and the structure-borne noise resulting due to the gearbox operation.
- **CM1 Dust Collector Fan (DC 26)** The EIP project involved redirecting the horizontal discharge to vertical. As such, a 1dB(A) reduction in noise levels were measured during the onsite survey and resulted in no significant reduction in incident noise levels at the receivers based on the computer noise model predictions.

#### 7.3.4 TEMPORARY NOISE BARRIER

A temporary noise barrier was installed to reduce noise impact due to activities associated with Kiln Refractory demolition works. The barrier was installed in accordance with Sonus Report S4682.1C3 [11]. To assess the influence of the temporary barrier at the noise sensitive receivers, in addition to the noise survey conducted on 04 May 2020 with the barrier place, an additional noise survey was conducted at 6-off locations once the barrier was removed, on 24 May 2020 between 10:00PM and 1:30AM the following day. The measurement locations were selected in accordance with the Sonus Report S4682.1C3, as presented below.



Figure 2: Measurement Locations as per Sonus Report S4682.1C3

29 May 2020



Sonus Location Reference	Vipac Location Reference	Location Description
1	-	Near / Adjacent 37 Alfred Street
2	R8	Adjacent 39 Mary Street
3	R15	Corner Alfred and Walton Street (near/adjacent 9 Walton street)
4	R2	Corner of Alfred Street and Hargraves Street (corner of Park)
5	-	32 Baker Street
6	-	Near/Adjacent 19 Walton street

#### Table 14: Measurement location details

It is noted that the initial survey was conducted by Sonus during the annual shutdown period and the measurement locations were selected by ABC in coordination with Sonus to identify/measure the noise impact due to demolition works. The results presented below compare the noise levels measured by Sonus during their survey conducted with the barrier in place (07-08 January 2020) and, Vipac's survey conducted on 04 May 2020 with the barrier in place and on 24 May 2020 survey with the barrier removed.

Comus Logation	Vince Logation	Survey Results Sound Pressure Levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ), dB(A)												
Reference	Reference	Sonus Survey Results (with Barrier)	Vipac Survey Results (with Barrier)	Vipac Survey Results (without Barrier)										
1	-	50	-	52										
2	R8	47	49	48										
3	R15	50	56	55										
4	R2	50	56	55										
5	-	45	-	50										
6	-	50	-	60										

Table 15: Results comparison (temporary barrier)

Based on the results presented above, Vipac comments as follows:

- The Sonus survey results do not represent the noise emissions associated with the plant operation, as the measurements were conducted during the shutdown period. This is also evident from the difference in the measured levels in comparison to Vipac's survey with the barrier in place. As such, Sonus' survey results do not provide an appropriate assessment of the barrier influence on noise emissions during the normal operation of the plant.
- Vipac did not conduct the noise measurements at locations 1, 5 and 6. However, based on the levels measured at locations 2 (R8), 3 (R15) and 4 (R2), Vipac notes that the noise levels measured with the barrier in place were 1 dB(A) higher than the levels without the barrier. This minor difference of 1 dB(A),



could be attributed to the wind conditions (direction and speed) during the survey and influence from other extraneous sources (traffic and nearby industrial developments). As such, Vipac considers the influence of the barrier to be insignificant in reducing the noise emitted during the normal operation of the plant.

• Based on the results presented above, Vipac notes that constructing a permanent barrier at the same location would likely have a negligible effect on the noise levels at the noise sensitive locations.

### 8 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

As discussed in Section 7.1, a characteristic screeching noise was observed at several off-site noise sensitive receiver locations during the night-time attended noise survey of 04 May 2020. ABC had confirmed that the screeching noise was associated with the drag chain conveyor, which was later rectified after the survey. As such, to ensure that the screeching noise was no longer present at the receivers, during the night-time attended noise survey conducted on 24 May 2020, additional measurements were conducted at receiver locations N2, N3 and R5 (in addition to R2, R8 and R15) where the screeching noise was observed to be most prominent during the previous survey. The results of the survey compared against the previous survey conducted on 04 May 2020 are presented below:

Location	Criterion	Measured S 04 May 20	ound Levels 20 Survey	Measured Sound Levels 24 May 2020 Survey							
Location	[dB(A)]	L <sub>Aeq</sub> , [dB(A)]	L <sub>A90</sub> , [dB(A)]	L <sub>Aeq</sub> , [dB(A)]	L <sub>A90</sub> , [dB(A)]						
R2	49	56	54	55	53						
R5	49	54	52	51	50						
R8	49	49	47	48	46						
R15	49	56	53	55	53						
N2	49	52	49	48	46						
N3	49	53	50	52	49						

Table 16: Night-time survey	v exceedances
-----------------------------	---------------

Based on the results presented above and our observations during the survey, Vipac comments as follows:

- The distinctive screeching noise was no longer audible at each measurement location.
- The measured levels were lower than the levels measured during the 04 May 2020 survey.
- The major difference in noise level was observed at location R5 (3 dB(A)) and N2 (4 dB(A)).

Considering the reduction in noise levels observed after the rectification works, Vipac recommends that ABC perform regular checks (maintenance, servicing, etc.) on the drag chain conveyor to ensure no unusual noise emissions from the system.



## Appendix A : ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SURVEY RESULTS

Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey – May 2020

Acoustic Report



#### **Day-Time Noise Survey Results**

Location	Start time (hh:mm)	L <sub>Aeq</sub> [dB(A)]	L <sub>A90</sub> [dB(A)]	Criterion [dB(A)]	Exceedance [dB(A)]	Wind Speed [m/s]	Wind Direction	Observations / Con
R2	15:23	58	53	57	-	4.5	SW	Audible noise from the plant with heavy traffic noise Hargrave Street.
R3	13:46	58	44	57	1	3.6	WSW	Traffic noise from Victoria Road. Noise from gardeni nearby property.
R4	14:08	52	38	57	-	4.0	SW	Plant not audible. Noise from construction activities fly-by.
R5	13:28	54	49	57	-	3.6	WSW	Distant traffic noise from Victoria Road. Audible plan noise.
R6	13:01	42	38	57	-	3.6	SSE	Plant barely audible. Infrequent dog barking and bird properties.
R8	14:42	50	41	57	-	4.5	WSW	Audible plant noise with infrequent dog barking and
R9	14:57	58	37	57	1	4.5	SW	Plant not audible. Traffic noise dominant.
R10	15:43	72	59	57	2	4.5	WSW	Traffic noise from Victoria Road (heavy traffic) domin
R11	15:42	59	39	57	2	4.5	SW	Traffic noise (Fletcher Road). Continuous bird noise away.
R12	13:07	60	53	57	-	3.6	SSE	Traffic noise from Victoria Road (heavy traffic) domin
R13	14:36	51	36	57	-	4.5	WSW	Audible plant noise. Lawnmower noise from nearby from property far away.
R14	15:22	47	36	57	-	4.5	SW	Plant not audible. Loud resident noise. Audible lawn
R15	15:04	56	50	57	-	5.4	SW	Audible noise from the plant with heavy traffic noise
R16	12:45	76	61	57	4	2.7	SE	Traffic noise from Victoria Road (heavy traffic) domin
R17	13:25	65	42	57	8	3.6	WSW	Plant inaudible. Frequent dog barking. Traffic noise
R18	12:35	61	42	57	4	2.7	SE	Plant not audible. Influence from infrequent bird nois noise in the nearby playground.
N1	12:25	56	47	57	-	2.7	SE	Faint noise from the ABC plant. Continuous traffic no etc.)
N2	13:58	46	42	57	-	4.0	SW	Audible noise from plant (possible fan noise). Distan Infrequent bird noise and dog barks.
N3	14:20	50	44	57	-	4.5	WSW	Plant noise audible. Frequent drilling noise from nea

#### nments

e influence from Victoria Road and

ning activities (power tools) from the

(road works and house). 1-off aircraft

nt noise (fan noise). Infrequent bird

d noise. Resident noise from nearby

bird noise.

inant source.

e and construction noise from far

inant source. Plant noise audible.

property. Infrequent dog barking

nmower noise.

e influence from Victoria Road.

inant source.

from nearby roads/streets.

se, traffic movements and children

noise from Victoria Road (trucks, cars,

nt traffic noise from Victoria Rd.

arby property. Infrequent bird noise.

Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey - May 2020

Acoustic Report



#### Night-Time Noise Survey Results

Location	Start time (hh:mm)	L <sub>Aeq</sub> [dB(A)]	L <sub>A90</sub> [dB(A)]	Criterion [dB(A)]	Exceedance [dB(A)]	Wind Speed [m/s]	Wind Direction	Observations / Comments
R2	23:55	56	54	49	5	3.1	NNE	Low traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise with noise from drag chain conveyor (screeching noise) prominent in the first 5 minutes of the measurement.
R3	23:24	52	49	49	3	3.1	Ν	Traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise with noise from drag chain conveyor (screeching noise).
R4	23:44	51	44	49	2	3.1	NNE	Audible plant noise with noise from drag chain conveyor (screeching noise).
R5	22:14	54	52	49	5	2.7	NE	Plant clearly audible with traffic noise from Victoria Road.
R6	22:41	49	46	49	-	2.7	NE	No traffic noise. Plant noise clearly audible.
R8	23:16	49	47	49	-	3.1	Ν	Low traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise with noise drag chain conveyor (screeching noise).
R9	0:27	46	42	49	-	1.3	ENE	Faint noise from plant. Music noise from nearby property.
R10	1:20	50	47	49	-	2.2	NE	Plant audible. Some influence of traffic pass-by.
R11	1:02	45	39	49	-	2.2	NE	Barely any noise from plant. Traffic noise from Victoria Rd.
R12	1:00	56	54	49	5	2.2	NE	Plan audible. Traffic noise from Victoria Road.
R13	0:05	46	43	49	-	3.1	ENE	Faint noise from plant.
R14	0:46	44	42	49	-	1.3	ENE	Faint noise from plant.
R15	23:37	56	53	49	4	3.1	NNE	Low traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise with noise from drag chain conveyor (screeching noise).
R16	0:34	58	55	49	6	1.3	ENE	Faint traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise.
R17	23:03	50	45	49	1	3.1	Ν	Traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise with noise from drag chain conveyor (screeching noise).
R18	22:20	50	46	49	1	2.7	NE	Plant clearly audible.
N1	0:18	51	49	49	-	3.1	ENE	Faint traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise.
N2	22:37	52	49	49	3	2.7	NE	Low traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise with noise from drag chain conveyor (screeching noise).
N3	22:55	53	50	49	4	3.1	N	Low traffic noise from nearby roads (Victoria Rd). Audible plant noise with noise from drag chain conveyor (screeching noise).



# Appendix B : NOISE SOURCE INVENTORY



				S (UTM co	ource Position o-ordinates zoi	า ne 54H)	Sour									ound Power Level (dB(A) re 1pW)																		
ID	Group	Noise source	Туре	x	Y	Z	Overall	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800	1k	1.25k	<b>1.6</b> k	2k	2.5k	3.15k	4k	5k	6.3k	8k	10k
1	CM7	Dust Collector Discharge	Point	271852	6142575	20	100	55	65	55	68	70	75	76	80	87	87	86	91	90	89	89	91	91	89	83	79	78	76	76	71	68	65	63
2	Kiln 4	Kiln Cooling Fan 1	Point	271686	6142840	4	104	45	50	55	58	68	69	75	82	82	85	92	93	92	95	95	95	95	94	93	91	89	87	85	81	77	74	68
3	Kiln 4	Kiln Cooling Fan 7	Point	271668	6142835	2	96	41	49	54	53	59	63	63	70	71	76	81	86	81	82	86	84	86	89	87	85	83	81	79	75	75	73	70
4	Kiln 4	Kiln Cooling Fan 6	Point	271666	6142849	5	91	44	50	54	59	58	60	66	71	69	78	77	80	83	81	81	81	81	80	79	78	77	75	72	70	68	64	59
5	Kiln 4	Kiln Cooling Fan 4	Point	271672	6142850	5	93	50	52	55	61	63	65	66	67	71	76	77	79	86	81	82	83	84	85	81	80	78	75	72	68	69	67	57
6	Kiln 4	Kiln Cooling Fan 5	Point	271672	6142836	5	104	54	61	70	72	73	71	75	77	83	82	84	91	96	95	92	96	96	94	91	90	88	86	83	79	76	72	68
7	Kiln 4	Kiln Cooling Fan 3	Point	271678	6142838	5	103	53	61	69	70	71	71	72	76	81	84	83	90	94	94	92	95	96	93	91	89	87	86	83	79	77	73	66
8	Kiln 4	Kiln Cooling Fan 2	Point	271683	6142838	5	101	50	58	67	68	69	71	71	75	82	81	83	88	93	91	89	93	93	91	90	87	86	83	80	76	74	70	65
9	Kiln 4	Fan	Point	271671	6142837	5	83	37	43	50	48	51	54	54	59	62	66	66	70	71	73	72	72	72	71	68	67	69	77	66	66	67	58	60
10	Slag Dryer	Slag Dryer	Line	271780	6142824	2	97	63	59	61	72	86	76	74	79	77	80	82	82	83	85	86	86	91	88	84	83	82	80	78	77	76	74	68
11	Kiln 4	Ventilation Oven Fan 1	Line	271631	6142835	5	115	60	65	70	72	74	83	82	86	92	104	101	99	107	105	105	105	105	105	103	103	101	97	92	89	85	81	77
12	Kiln 4	Ventilation Oven Fan 2	Line	271660	6142841	5	115	60	65	70	72	74	83	82	86	92	104	101	99	107	105	105	105	105	105	103	103	101	97	92	89	85	81	77
13	Raw mill 4B	EP Outlet Duct	Line	271611	6142876	5	110	66	69	72	76	80	86	88	90	101	100	98	96	98	99	100	100	98	99	98	95	92	90	87	85	83	79	76
14	Raw mill 4B	4B Air Slide Blower	Point	271598	6142880	35.5	94	43	50	56	71	79	74	72	75	73	78	74	74	78	82	88	77	76	81	82	83	85	83	82	81	79	75	72
15	4A/4B Tower	4A Elevator Discharge Air Slide	Point	271602	6142821	37.3	90	38	40	43	51	58	53	59	58	68	79	80	78	79	79	85	79	77	76	76	73	72	69	69	68	65	61	59
16	Transfer Conveyors	D/C- CS1/CS2 Central Tower	Point	271662	6142805	16	102	43	47	55	68	65	71	73	76	84	85	84	85	92	95	95	93	92	92	89	86	86	84	81	79	75	71	67
17	Transfer Conveyors	D/C - CR1/CR2 Conveyors	Point	271610	6142802	13	91	46	49	50	54	59	63	63	64	69	73	75	74	81	80	81	84	83	79	76	78	80	79	76	73	69	68	65
18	Level 3 Dust Collector Area	D/C - CS 2 Conveyor	Point	271600	6142791	22	91	49	52	55	59	60	66	72	71	76	78	76	77	78	76	78	81	79	81	79	77	76	76	78	81	80	76	72
19	Level 3 Dust Collector Area	D/C - North Gantry Building	Point	271599	6142798	24	101	52	58	64	70	67	73	77	76	82	84	96	87	88	92	92	89	89	88	86	84	83	83	83	83	81	76	72
20	Level 3 Dust Collector Area	D/C - CS2/CS3A/CS4 Conveyor	Point	271596	6142790	22	91	51	51	55	66	62	67	67	68	75	76	81	80	80	80	80	80	80	82	78	76	75	76	75	76	74	73	71
21	Level 3 Dust Collector Area	CR4 DC	Point	271592	6142798	22.5	95	54	58	61	63	64	68	69	74	78	83	83	83	87	88	80	81	80	86	82	80	80	78	78	77	73	68	63
22	Transfer Conveyors	D/C - 36 Bypass Dust Disposal	Point	271585	6142821	15.5	86	40	43	51	56	53	58	62	68	70	71	71	69	73	73	73	75	77	80	76	75	73	70	64	61	59	55	51
23	4A/4B Tower	4A Elevator Drive	Point	271601	6142847	87	100	55	61	63	65	61	64	67	70	73	78	85	92	88	88	89	96	100	87	86	85	84	82	81	78	75	70	65
24	4A/4B Tower	D/C - 41 Kiln 4 Feed	Point	271605	6142837	87	97	47	50	63	63	60	73	76	77	75	80	86	86	88	86	85	88	88	86	88	83	79	78	74	72	68	65	62
25	4A/4B Tower	D/C - 38 Blending Silo Top	Point	271596	6142866	35.5	84	35	40	46	54	70	59	66	63	68	70	73	74	78	73	73	72	70	71	69	66	67	67	65	63	66	66	59
26	Woodchip Plant 1	Dust Collector	Point	271588	6142903	5	105	64	67	70	75	75	76	78	80	86	87	89	94	94	100	95	92	95	95	92	89	86	83	82	81	77	75	72
27	Slag Dryer	D/C - Slag Outfeed	Point	271769	6142823	7	87	39	45	48	53	52	56	59	60	63	69	71	72	72	81	78	78	80	79	73	72	69	68	67	65	59	55	50
28	Clinker Gantry	Cement Mill 1 & 7- Clinker Gantry 1	Point	271865	6142494	15	97	55	57	63	65	66	67	70	70	73	74	82	85	85	86	89	89	88	90	90	88	81	76	73	69	65	60	54
29	Clinker Gantry	Cement Mill 1 & 7- Clinker Gantry 2	Point	271869	6142460	15	97	55	57	63	65	66	67	70	70	73	74	82	85	85	86	89	89	88	90	90	88	81	76	73	69	65	60	54
30	Clinker Gantry	Cement Mill 1 & 7- Clinker Gantry 3	Point	271873	6142426	15	97	55	57	63	65	66	67	70	70	73	74	82	85	85	86	89	89	88	90	90	88	81	76	73	69	65	60	54



				S (UTM co	ource Position o-ordinates zor	ne 54H)	54H) Sour										Sound Power Level (dB(A) re 1pW)																	
ID	Group	Noise source	Туре	x	Y	Z	Overall	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800	1k	1.25k	<b>1.6</b> k	2k	2.5k	3.15k	4k	5k	6.3k	8k	10k
31	Clinker Gantry	Cement Mill 1 & 7- Clinker Gantry 4	Point	271888	6142427	15	97	55	57	63	65	66	67	70	70	73	74	82	85	85	86	89	89	88	90	90	88	81	76	73	69	65	60	54
32	Clinker Gantry	Cement Mill 1 & 7- Clinker Gantry	Point	271883	6142463	15	97	55	57	63	65	66	67	70	70	73	74	82	85	85	86	89	89	88	90	90	88	81	76	73	69	65	60	54
33	Clinker Gantry	Cement Mill 1 & 7- Clinker Gantry 6	Point	271877	6142496	15	97	55	57	63	65	66	67	70	70	73	74	82	85	85	86	89	89	88	90	90	88	81	76	73	69	65	60	54
34	Clinker Gantry	Clinker Blend Building CM1 Shed motor	Point	271871	6142391	1	85	42	49	52	55	57	61	62	65	71	71	71	74	74	77	77	78	75	72	73	70	68	69	65	61	56	52	47
35	Clinker Gantry	Clinker Blend Building CM1 Shed motor	Point	271877	6142392	1	84	41	49	52	55	57	61	65	66	71	70	70	76	77	76	75	73	71	69	69	68	67	65	62	58	55	52	46
36	Wharf Bulk Loading Station	D/C - CSC Bulk	Point	271910	6142540	26	91	61	65	67	70	73	75	77	79	81	77	80	79	79	79	80	81	82	79	76	73	71	71	69	69	67	66	62
37	Clinker Gantry	D/C - 23 CE1 Conveyor	Point	271868	6142535	6	105	71	77	81	86	89	91	94	95	96	95	95	93	95	92	92	94	90	87	85	83	82	82	81	78	76	74	70
38	Wharf Silos	D/C - 20 Wharf 30000T Silo #2	Point	271927	6142529	51	83	40	45	49	49	53	59	60	64	68	72	70	72	73	72	73	73	72	74	71	68	67	67	64	62	61	60	54
39	Wharf Silos	D/C - 19 Wharf 30000T Silo #1	Point	271933	6142532	51	95	41	52	55	55	61	67	70	71	72	80	82	90	81	82	85	86	84	81	83	83	80	79	75	73	69	66	62
40	Wharf Silos	D/C -18 Ship Loader	Point	271932	6142551	20	87	42	49	52	60	78	72	78	75	75	77	74	72	72	79	67	69	71	74	73	73	70	71	70	68	66	61	56
41	Wharf Silos	D/C - 16000 Silo Top (South)	Point	271928	6142566	50	95	53	59	59	79	78	71	72	69	75	83	87	84	83	84	87	83	83	83	84	80	77	75	73	71	68	65	63
42	Wharf Silos	D/C - 16000 Silo Top (North )	Point	271927	6142567	50	82	32	40	47	69	66	59	63	65	68	74	75	71	70	69	72	69	66	67	69	66	66	62	60	59	55	51	48
43	Wharf Silos	D/C - Silo Bottom 16000	Point	271929	6142576	6	91	56	64	69	74	77	77	78	78	78	77	80	79	73	77	77	75	84	83	78	76	74	74	72	72	69	65	61
44	Woodchip Plant 1	Woodchip Compressor Dryer	Point	271570	6142913	0.5	73	5	11	15	20	24	28	31	34	37	65	67	55	60	67	55	62	63	65	57	57	55	49	54	31	35	34	28
45	CM6	Cooling Tower North CM 6	Point	271610	6142760	1.9	89	-45	39	-35	57	-26	-23	60	67	-13	70	69	74	79	80	83	83	78	77	74	72	68	63	1	1	0	52	46
46		CSC Compressor	Point	271708	6142551	1	93	-45	-39	-35	-30	-26	-23	61	63	-13	70	69	82	79	78	78	79	86	88	80	77	78	77	1	1	0	69	57
47	Loading Station	Air Slide SE BDC	Point	271909	6142546	21	93	-45	-39	-35	-30	-26	-23	68	70	73	81	-9	86	83	73	82	84	85	84	83	80	79	74	69	1	0	-1	55
48		4A Stack	Point	271649	6142814	75	100	8	16	23	50	59	71	82	86	96	85	83	79	81	82	83	89	92	89	81	75	71	69	68	62	56	51	44
49	4A/4B Tower	4B Stack Gantry Dust	Point	271604	6142847	95	103	63	62	64	69	67	74	79	88	84	85	87	91	90	91	91	94	95	91	92	90	89	89	87	85	86	83	76
50	Collector Area	Collector Fan Housing	Point	271598	6142796	21	98	57	60	61	62	66	77	71	75	81	82	91	87	87	87	88	86	86	86	82	79	79	79	80	83	79	73	74
51	Transfer Conveyors	T2 Dust Collector Fan	Point	271855	6142639	12	108	62	65	68	72	73	77	83	91	96	94	96	94	96	97	99	99	99	96	94	92	91	87	83	78	74	68	63
52	Level 3 Dust Collector Area	CS4/CS5 Dust Collector Fan	Point	271629	6142603	12	99	55	57	62	66	69	71	76	78	78	87	87	88	88	86	90	89	89	89	85	84	83	78	74	70	67	61	56
53	Road Bulk Loading Station	Dust Collector DC 30	Point	271711	6142746	26	94	49	59	50	62	64	69	71	74	82	81	80	85	85	84	83	85	86	83	78	73	72	70	70	65	62	59	57
54	Station	Southern Fan Discharge	Point	271711	6142744	26	86	59	67	67	69	71	72	73	73	74	74	75	76	75	77	76	72	71	71	69	67	67	66	69	65	64	62	61
55	Collector Area	CR3 Dust Collector	Point	271596	6142799	22	96	63	69	73	78	80	83	84	86	87	87	87	86	86	83	82	80	79	77	76	74	75	73	72	72	69	67	66
56	4A/4B Tower	4A Airslide East Fan	Point	271608	6142844	37	101	49	51	54	62	69	64	70	69	79	90	91	89	90	90	96	90	88	87	87	84	83	80	80	79	76	72	70



				S (UTM co	Source Position p-ordinates zo	n ne 54H)												So	ound P	ower L	evel (di	B(A) re	1pW)											
ID	Group	Noise source	Туре	x	Y	Z	Overall	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800	1k	1.25k	<b>1.6</b> k	2k	2.5k	<b>3.15</b> k	4k	5k	6.3k	8k	10k
57	Raw Mill 4B	4B Elevator Drive	Point	271607	6142879	37	90	42	45	51	58	61	61	63	67	69	80	80	77	77	80	79	83	79	79	76	75	74	72	71	70	68	66	62
58	4A/4B Tower	Kiln 4 Airslide Fan	Point	271595	6142849	75	102	48	54	57	60	60	62	67	74	76	78	80	82	89	93	91	92	98	91	88	87	85	86	82	80	76	74	71
59	Kiln 4	Kiln burner	Point	271673	6142844	12	112	49	54	61	74	63	68	74	79	83	92	93	91	92	88	87	89	88	86	89	88	89	90	90	95	109	109	92
60	Gas Train	Gas Train	Point	271566	6142622	2	93	51	57	59	65	69	70	71	73	77	77	78	78	79	81	83	86	91	91	91	90	91	90	88	90	94	92	89
61	CM1	compressor Room Exhaust & Opening	Point	271884	6142587	2.5	88	35	44	45	51	62	61	62	63	71	74	72	74	73	77	80	79	79	77	76	74	72	71	71	70	71	69	68
62		Point source 02	Point	271893	6142582	2.5	88	35	44	45	51	62	61	62	63	71	74	72	74	73	77	80	79	79	77	76	74	72	71	71	70	71	69	68
63	CM1	CM1 - South Roof	Area	271868	6142590	25	91	-	-	-	71	70	74	73	76	79	79	85	80	81	82	81	77	74	74	76	76	74	72	69	66	-	-	-
64	CM1	CM1 - North Roof	Area	271864	6142614	25	91	-	-	-	71	70	74	73	76	79	79	85	80	81	82	81	77	74	74	76	76	74	72	69	66	-	-	-
65	CM1	CM1 - South Facade	Area	271869	6142578	10	95	-	-	-	69	70	74	79	82	85	87	88	87	86	84	83	80	78	76	79	78	76	73	68	64	-	-	-
66	CM1	CM1 - West Facade	Area	271858	6142601	12.7	102	-	-	-	76	77	81	86	89	92	93	95	94	92	91	89	86	84	83	85	84	83	79	74	71	-	-	-
67	CM1	CM1 - North Facade	Area	271862	6142626	10	95	-	-	-	69	70	74	79	82	85	87	88	87	86	84	83	80	78	76	79	78	76	73	68	64	-	-	-
68	CM1	CM1 - East Facade	Area	271874	6142603	12.7	101	-	-	-	75	76	80	85	88	91	92	94	93	91	90	88	85	83	82	84	83	82	78	73	70	-	-	-
69	CM1	DC26 CM1	Point	271876	6142588	12	101	63	64	64	73	80	85	86	89	90	92	100	98	100	102	100	99	96	95	96	93	91	88	85	80	77	71	64
70	CM6	CM6 Lower - South	Area	271625	6142720	4	108	53	57	65	68	67	68	74	79	84	82	89	93	92	96	99	98	98	102	97	95	93	92	91	88	85	81	74
72	CM6	CM6 Lower - North	Area	271618	6142758	4	94	47	51	58	60	51	57	66	73	79	70	77	87	78	82	89	82	82	86	81	79	76	76	78	76	74	71	69
73	CM6	Cm6 Lower - East	Area	271632	6142741	4	97	50	53	61	63	54	60	68	75	81	72	80	90	80	84	91	84	85	88	84	81	79	79	80	79	77	74	72
74	CM6	CM6 Upper - West Roof	Area	271616	6142730	19	91	50	52	59	65	59	60	65	69	73	69	76	86	77	79	84	79	79	82	75	72	70	69	68	66	64	59	54
75	CM6	CM6 Upper - East Roof	Area	271622	6142732	19	91	50	52	59	65	59	60	65	69	73	69	76	86	77	79	84	79	79	82	75	72	70	69	68	66	64	59	54
76	CM6	CM6 Upper - South	Area	271621	6142719	13.5	90	49	51	58	65	58	59	64	68	72	68	75	86	76	78	83	79	78	81	75	71	69	68	68	65	63	59	53
77	CM6	CM6 Upper - West	Area	271613	6142730	13	93	52	54	61	67	61	62	67	71	75	71	78	88	79	81	86	81	81	84	77	74	72	71	70	68	66	61	56
78	CM6	CM6 Upper - North	Area	271616	6142743	13.5	90	49	51	58	65	58	59	64	68	72	68	75	86	76	78	83	79	78	81	75	71	69	68	68	65	63	59	53
79	CM6	CM6 Upper - East	Area	271625	6142732	13	93	52	54	61	67	61	62	67	71	75	71	78	88	79	81	86	81	81	84	77	74	72	71	70	68	66	61	56
80	CM6	South Opening	Point	271633	6142764	1	88	37	39	44	49	48	50	53	57	61	64	80	74	69	75	73	72	75	76	74	74	79	82	70	73	75	68	60
81	CM6	North Opening	Point	271632	6142766	1	102	42	44	48	54	57	58	62	65	69	77	101	87	80	85	84	83	86	85	85	84	88	90	83	83	83	78	73
82	CM7	CM7 - Roof (north)	Area	271844	6142605	25	86	-	-	-	67	68	68	68	72	/5	76	/8	73	/5	/3	74	/1	70	70	74	74	72	70	68	67	-	-	-
83	CM7	CM7 - Roof (south)	Area	2/184/	6142585	25	86	-	-	-	67	68	68	68	72	/5	76	/8	/3	/5	/3	/4	/1	70	70	/4	74	72	/0	68	67	-	-	-
84	CM7	CM7 - South	Area	271848	6142575	10	93	-	-	-	57	63	69	72	73	77	84	85	87	83	84	83	80	76	74	77	75	71	68	61	57	-	-	-
85	CM7	CM / - East	Area	2/1853	6142596	12.7	98	-	-	-	62	68	/4		/8	82	90	90	92	88	90	88	85	81	/9	82	80	76	/3	66	62	-	-	-
86	CM7	CM7 - North	Area	2/1842	6142615	10	93	-	-	-	57	63	69	72	73	77	84	85	87	83	84	83	80	76	74	77	75	71	68	61	57	-	-	-
87	CM7	CM7 - West	Area	2/1838	6142594	12.7	103	-	-	-	67	73	79	82	83	87	95	95	97	93	95	93	90	86	85	87	85	81	78	71	67	-	-	-
88	CM7	Room Opening	Area	271841	6142571	3.5	98	41	41	46	57	56	60	63	72	71	75	78	76	83	81	76	80	77	87	83	87	91	90	92	81	80	79	75
89	Kiin 4	Heat Exchanger Fan	Point	2/16/4	6142826	16	91	41	49	61	60	60	66	6/	/1	/1	83	//	80	83	81	80	81	81	80	//	75	74	/2	69	66	66	63	54
105	Reclaimer Shed	Eastern Roof	Area	271646	6142345	19.5	93	-	-	-	69	75	78	79	81	81	79	80	79	91	79	77	77	73	72	73	73	72	69	65	63	-	-	-
106	Reclaimer Shed	Western Roof	Area	271617	6142344	19.5	93	-	-	-	69	75	78	79	81	81	79	80	79	91	79	77	77	73	72	73	73	72	69	65	63	-	-	-



				(UTM co	o-ordinates zor	ne 54H)												So	ound Po	ower L	evel (dE	8(A) re 1	LpW)											
ID	Group	Noise source	Туре	x	Ŷ	Z	Overall	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800	1k	1.25k	<b>1.6</b> k	2k	2.5k	3.15k	4k	5k	6.3k	8k	10k
107 L Ref	Limestone eclaimer Shed	West Facade	Area	271603	6142344	3.5	86	47	51	52	61	67	71	72	70	72	69	71	69	81	74	74	74	74	74	74	72	69	66	62	58	53	48	42
108 L Re(	Limestone eclaimer Shed	South Facade	Area	271635	6142242	11.1	85	46	50	51	60	66	70	71	69	70	68	70	68	80	73	73	73	73	73	73	71	68	65	61	57	52	47	40
109 L Rer	Limestone eclaimer Shed	East Facade	Area	271661	6142346	3.5	86	47	51	52	61	67	71	72	70	72	69	71	69	81	74	74	74	74	74	74	72	69	66	62	58	53	48	42
110 L Rec	Limestone eclaimer Shed	North Facade	Area	271628	6142448	11.1	85	46	50	51	60	66	70	71	69	70	68	70	68	80	73	73	73	73	73	73	71	68	65	61	57	52	47	40
111 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Roof	Area	271561	6142900	15	93	-	-	-	57	63	63	73	91	76	81	78	84	73	80	77	76	72	71	61	58	56	53	51	53	51	45	40
112 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 01	Area	271552	6142889	7.5	89	-	-	-	53	59	59	69	87	73	77	74	80	69	76	73	72	68	67	57	54	52	49	48	49	47	41	36
113 Woo	oodchip Plant 2	Facade 02	Area	271542	6142890	7.5	84	-	-	-	48	54	54	63	82	67	72	69	74	64	70	67	66	63	61	51	48	47	43	42	44	41	36	31
114 Woo	oodchip Plant 2	Facade 03	Area	271540	6142892	7.5	81	-	-	-	46	52	52	61	80	65	70	67	72	61	68	65	64	60	59	49	46	45	41	40	41	39	33	28
115 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 04	Area	271538	6142894	7.5	84	-	-	-	48	54	54	63	82	67	72	69	74	64	70	67	66	62	61	51	48	47	43	42	44	41	36	31
116 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 05	Area	271542	6142898	7.5	86	-	-	-	50	56	56	66	84	70	74	71	77	66	73	70	69	65	64	54	51	49	46	45	46	44	38	33
117 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 06	Area	271545	6142902	7.5	84	-	-	-	48	54	55	64	82	68	73	70	75	64	71	68	67	63	62	52	49	47	44	43	44	42	36	31
118 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 07	Area	271555	6142908	7.5	90	-	-	-	54	60	60	69	88	73	78	75	80	70	76	73	72	68	67	57	54	53	49	48	49	47	42	36
119 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 08	Area	271564	6142911	7.5	-	-	-	-	38	32	32	22	4	18	14	17	11	22	15	18	19	23	24	34	37	39	42	44	42	44	50	55
120 Wor	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 09	Area	271568	6142913	7.5	86	-	-	-	50	56	56	65	84	69	74	71	76	66	72	69	68	64	63	53	50	49	45	44	45	43	38	33
121 Wor	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 10	Area	271572	6142913	7.5	81	-	-	-	45	51	51	60	79	64	69	66	72	61	67	65	63	60	58	48	46	44	41	39	41	38	33	28
122 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 11	Area	271575	6142912	7.5	83	-	-	-	47	53	53	62	81	66	71	68	73	63	69	66	65	61	60	50	47	46	42	41	42	40	35	29
123 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 12	Area	271579	6142904	7.5	89	-	-	-	53	59	59	68	87	72	77	74	80	69	75	73	71	68	66	56	54	52	49	47	49	46	41	36
124 Woo	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 13	Area	271575	6142894	7.5	87	-	-	-	51	58	58	67	86	71	76	73	78	67	74	71	70	66	65	55	52	51	47	46	47	45	39	34
125 Wor	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 14	Area	271569	6142892	7.5	79	-	-	-	43	49	49	58	77	62	67	64	69	59	65	62	61	57	56	46	43	42	38	37	38	36	31	26
126 Wor	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 15	Area	271565	6142890	7.5	85	-	-	-	50	56	56	65	84	69	74	71	76	65	72	69	68	64	63	53	50	49	45	44	45	43	37	32
127 Wor	oodchip Plant 1	Facade 16	Area	271561	6142890	7.5	80	-	-	-	44	50	50	60	78	63	68	65	71	60	66	64	62	59	58	47	45	43	40	38	40	38	32	27
128 Wor	oodchip Plant 2	Roof	Area	271582	6142930	14	95	-	-	-	-	71	73	69	80	76	76	77	78	77	79	88	83	81	91	86	76	74	73	70	70	67	64	-
129 Wor	Dodchip Plant 2	South Facade	Area	271585	6142918	7	92	-	-	-	-	68	71	66	77	74	73	74	75	74	76	85	81	78	88	84	74	71	70	67	67	65	62	-
130 Wor	Dodchip Plant 2	West Facade	Area	271545	6142921	7	88	-	-	-	-	63	66	61	72	69	68	69	71	69	71	80	76	73	83	79	69	66	65	62	62	60	57	-
131 Wor	Dodchip Plant 2	North Façade	Area	271579	6142942	7	92	-	-	-	-	68	71	66	77	74	73	74	75	74	76	85	81	78	88	84	74	71	70	67	67	65	62	-
132 Wor	Dodchip Plant 2	East Facade	Area	271620	6142939	7	88	-	-	-	-	63	66	61	72	69	68	69	71	69	71	80	76	73	83	79	69	66	65	62	62	60	57	-



### Appendix C : NOISE CONTOUR PLOTS

Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey - May 2020

Acoustic Report





50B-20-0065-TRP-10950285-3

Birkenhead Plant Noise Survey – May 2020

Acoustic Report





50B-20-0065-TRP-10950285-3

ton Cement rkenhead Er 3-18-0036	Ltd nviron	imenta	l Noise
			Мар
<sup>®</sup>			2
on - Worst-c 8 above ground leve	el	onditio	ns
N 8.0, Update 9/08/20	017		
Sigr	ns and	l symbo	ols
_	Road axi	s	
	Emission	line	
	Surface		
	Central r	eservation	
	Wall	nnele	
	Signal	nneis	
<u> </u>	Railwav	axis	
	Emission	line	
_	Surface		
	Wall		
=_=	inside tu	nnels	
ength scale	1:640	)4	
50 100	200	300	400
10 70			
	eers &	Scientists	5



### Appendix D : METEOROLOGY DATA



Time (04/05/20 – 05/05/20)	Temperature [degC]	Wind Speed [m/s]	Wind Direction	Dew Point [degC]	Relative Humidity [%]
10:00	15.0	3.6	ENE	6.1	55
10:30	16.1	3.6	E	6.1	52
11:00	15.0	2.7	E	7.2	55
11:30	16.1	2.7	SSE	7.2	55
12:00	16.1	3.6	E	7.2	55
12:30	16.1	2.7	SE	7.2	55
13:00	17.2	3.6	SSE	7.8	55
13:30	16.1	3.6	WSW	7.2	55
14:00	16.1	4.0	SW	7.2	55
14:30	17.2	4.5	WSW	7.2	55
15:00	16.1	5.4	SW	7.8	52
15:30	16.1	4.5	SW	7.8	55
16:00	16.1	4.5	WSW	7.8	59
16:30	16.1	4.0	WSW	7.8	59
17:00	16.1	4.0	SW	7.8	59
17:30	15.0	3.1	SW	8.9	59
18:00	15.0	2.7	SW	8.9	63
18:30	13.9	0.9	SW	8.9	67
19:00	12.8	0.0	CALM	7.8	72
19:30	11.1	2.7	ENE	7.8	77
20:00	11.1	2.7	E	7.8	82
20:30	11.1	2.2	E	7.8	82
21:00	11.1	2.2	E	7.8	82
21:30	10.0	2.7	NNE	7.8	82
22:00	8.9	2.7	NE	7.2	87
22:30	10.0	2.7	NE	7.2	82
23:00	11.1	3.1	N	7.2	76
23:30	11.1	3.1	NNE	6.1	71
0:00	8.9	3.1	ENE	6.1	82
0:30	8.9	1.3	ENE	6.1	82
1:00	7.8	2.2	NE	6.1	87



### Appendix E : GLOSSARY OF ACOUSTIC TERMINOLOGY



dB(A)	A-weighted decibels; a unit of measurement of sound pressure level which has its frequency characteristics modified by a filter ("A-weighted") so as to more closely approximate the frequency response of the human ear.								
L <sub>10</sub> or L <sub>A10</sub>	The noise level which is equalled or exceeded for 10% of the measurement period. $L_{10}$ is an indicator of the mean maximum noise level, and is used in Australia as the descriptor for intrusive noise (usually in dB(A)).								
$L_{90}$ or $L_{A90}$	The noise level which is equalled or exceeded for 90% of the measurement period. $L_{90}$ is an indicator of the mean minimum noise level, and is used in Australia as the descriptor for background or ambient noise (usually in dB(A)).								
L <sub>eq</sub> or L <sub>Aeq</sub>	The equivalent continuous noise level for the measurement period. $L_{eq}$ is an indicator of the average noise level (usually in dB(A)).								
L <sub>max</sub> or L <sub>Amax</sub>	The maximum noise level for the measurement period (in dB(A))								
Broadband noise	Noise comprising energy distributed across a large range of frequencies								
Impulsive noise	A noise distinguished by a sharp rise and fall in noise level. Often characterised as thumping or banging.								
Low frequency noise	A noise characterised as rumbling, roaring, booming or similar.								
Modulating noise	A noise that fluctuates in either frequency (such as a wailing siren), or loudness (such as intermittent traffic). May be described as varying, fluctuating, pulsating or similar.								
Tonal noise	A noise having a well-defined pitch or note which is clearly audible above other noise.								
Noise Level (dBA)	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$								

Time

Note: The subjective reaction or response to changes in noise levels can be summarised as follows:

A 3 dB(A) increase in sound pressure level is required for the average human ear to notice a change; a 5 dB(A) increase is quite noticeable and a 10 dB(A) increase is typically perceived as a doubling in loudness.